

Determinants of Hooking Mortality in Freshwater Recreational Fisheries: A Quantitative Meta-Analysis

DANIEL HÜHN*

*Department of Biology and Ecology of Fishes
Leibniz-Institute of Freshwater Ecology and Inland Fisheries
Müggelseedamm 310, Berlin 12587, Germany*

ROBERT ARLINGHAUS

*Department of Biology and Ecology of Fishes
Leibniz-Institute of Freshwater Ecology and Inland Fisheries
Müggelseedamm 310, Berlin 12587, Germany*

and

*Inland Fisheries Management Laboratory, Department for Crop and Animal Sciences
Faculty of Agriculture and Horticulture, Humboldt-University of Berlin
Phillipstrasse 13, Haus 7, Berlin 10115, Germany*

Abstract.—In recreational fisheries, catch and release is widespread and practiced under the assumption that released fish survive the capture event unharmed. To improve understanding about the lethal impacts of catch-and-release recreational angling, a quantitative meta-analysis of the literature on hooking mortality and its determinants was conducted focusing on freshwater fishes. Studies were initially selected based on the occurrence of the study species in European recreational fisheries. Because original studies from European freshwater or diadromous fish species were rare, studies from the same genus as native European species were also included in the meta-analysis. Mean hooking mortality \pm SE across all species was $15.9 \pm 1.3\%$ ($n = 252$ hooking mortality estimates in $n = 107$ studies), with a median of 7.8% and a range from 0% to 88.5%. The distribution of hooking mortality estimates was highly skewed towards low values; about 60% of all hooking mortality values were below 10%. Average hooking mortality varied between fish families and was highest for Percidae (mean \pm SE, $19.9 \pm 5.3\%$) followed by Salmonidae ($15.9 \pm 1.4\%$), Esocidae ($14.9 \pm 7.0\%$), and Cyprinidae ($5.7 \pm 1.6\%$). Hooking mortality was positively related to water temperature and was significantly higher for natural baits and barbed hooks than for artificial baits and barbless hooks. Size of fish and type of hook were unrelated to the level of hooking mortality. To minimize hooking mortality on European fish species, we recommend the use of barbless hooks and artificial baits and we suggest avoiding catch and release of fish during high water temperatures. Further research on the impacts of catch and release on a number of European fishes is recommended because of the limited coverage of species-specific information in the contemporary literature.

Introduction

Globally, the majority of fish captured by recreational anglers are released (Cooke and Cowx 2004; Bartholomew and Bohnsack 2005), either voluntarily (Arlinghaus 2007) or mandatorily as a byproduct of harvest regulations (Polican-

sky 2002; Arlinghaus et al. 2007b). Most catch-and-release regulations assume that released fish will survive the event unharmed (Wydoski 1977; Policansky 2002). However, not all fish that are released survive (Muoneke and Childress 1994), and even low hooking mortality levels might result in high levels of cumulative fishing mortality impacting fish populations

* Corresponding author: huehn@igb-berlin.de

(Bartholomew and Bohnsack 2005; Coggins et al. 2007). Therefore, fisheries managers and other stakeholders are interested in determining the actual hooking mortality of popular fish species, as well as the intrinsic, environmental, and fishing-related factors that increase the probability of postrelease survival.

A great deal of catch-and-release studies have been conducted since the first paper on catch-and-release mortality appeared in the 1930s by Westerman (1932) (reviewed in Wydoski 1977; Muoneke and Childress 1994; Bartholomew and Bohnsack 2005; Arlinghaus et al. 2007b). However, a number of open questions about the impact of catch-and-release angling still remain (see Bartholomew and Bohnsack 2005; Arlinghaus et al. 2007b). Moreover, from the pool of species that are targeted and released by anglers, only a minor fraction has been analyzed in depth with respect to their reaction to a catch-and-release event (Cooke and Suski 2005), and only very recently have European researchers started to engage in dedicated catch-and-release research (Arlinghaus et al. 2007b).

In the first comprehensive review on hooking mortality, Muoneke and Childress (1994) analyzed 76 studies. They reported 132 hooking mortality estimates from 32 fish taxa, but they were focused exclusively on fish species of recreational importance to the United States of America (North American salmonids, percids [e.g., walleye *Sander vitreus*] and centrachids [e.g., black bass *Micropterus* spp. and bluegill *Lepomis macrochirus*]). Bartholomew and Bohnsack (2005) provided an update of the early work by Muoneke and Childress (1994). They reviewed 53 studies and reported a total of 274 hooking mortality estimates for 48 fish taxa, including several marine fish species (e.g., Scombridae *Thunnus* spp.). Bartholomew and Bohnsack (2005) were the first to quantitatively analyze the determinants of hooking mortality in fish in a rigorous meta-analysis framework. However, because the likelihood of death is likely to be family-specific or even species-specific (Cooke and Suski 2005), it is uncertain whether generic hooking mortality analyses that lump species from different environments (e.g., saltwater and freshwater) into one data set can provide generalizable insights. Moreover, although some species-

specific meta-analyses have been conducted for salmonid species (Taylor and White 1992; Schill and Scarpella 1997), no study has systematically compiled the available information on hooking mortality of central European freshwater or diadromous fish species (i.e., those species of importance for recreational fisheries that occur in mainland Europe).

The objective of our study was to conduct a meta-analysis of hooking mortality studies and their determinants focusing on fish genera of importance in European recreational freshwater fisheries. In the present paper, we analyze the variability of hooking mortality estimates for different fish families and conduct a quantitative analysis with respect to five paramount determinants of hooking mortality for which sufficient information was available in the peer-reviewed literature. We compared our results to those generated by Bartholomew and Bohnsack (2005) using a different data set and a different inference statistical approach. Our goal was to test whether insights on the determinants of hooking mortality are generalizable across species families and groups focusing on genera of importance to European freshwater recreational fisheries.

Methods

A uniform literature search for peer-reviewed studies related to catch and release of species of importance in European recreational fisheries was conducted. To ensure that the literature base was as complete as possible, different search approaches were used. First, the references of previous reviews of hooking mortality in recreational angling (Wydoski 1977; Taylor and White 1992; Muoneke and Childress 1994; Schill and Scarpella 1997; Bartholomew and Bohnsack 2005; Arlinghaus et al. 2007b) were consulted for an initial compilation of studies. In addition, electronic search services of scientific literature were used. Specifically, we used ASFA (Aquatic Sciences and Fisheries Abstracts), Web of Science, ScienceDirect, Blackwell Synergy, American Fisheries Society, and SpringerLink. Furthermore, we used Google Scholar (www.scholar.google.com). We operated with keywords alone (e.g., "hook" and "hooking") and with keyword strings such as "catch and release," "catch-and-release," "rec-

reational fish*", "hooking mortality," "hook type," "artificial bait," "angling," "angler," "sport fishing," "air exposure," "handling," "sublethal effect*", and "sub-lethal effect*." During the literature research, we mainly focused on peer-reviewed articles and neglected gray literature from North America because of their lack of accessibility in Europe. The detailed literature search was conducted between December 15 and 31, 2007 by the first author of the present paper.

At the onset of our study, we were aware that the total number of European catch-and-release studies would probably be too low to allow a rigorous meta-analysis. Therefore, we compiled a data set of studies realized with species within genera of importance in European recreational fisheries (e.g., *Sander* spp., *Oncorhynchus* spp., and *Esox* spp.), focusing on freshwater and diadromous fish species. We decided to compile all catch-and-release studies conducted for species of importance to European recreational fisheries irrespective of the species actually being native to Europe or not, under the assumption that species from the same genus would exhibit similar reactions to the catch-and-release event. For example, studies about the hooking mortality of walleye and sauger *Sander canadensis* were considered relevant for the congeneric zander *S. lucioperca*. Furthermore, we embraced studies on rainbow trout *Oncorhynchus mykiss* and cutthroat trout *O. clarkii* as relevant species in the analysis because they were introduced to Europe (Crawford and Muir 2008) and are nowadays legally identified as native in some countries such as Germany (Kottelat and Freyhof 2007). Because of the importance of *Oncorhynchus* spp. in European recreational fisheries, we also included hooking mortality studies of other non-European species from this genus (e.g., Chinook salmon *O. tshawytscha* and coho salmon *O. kisutch*) into the analysis.

From each identified hooking mortality study, key data were extracted, such as species covered, hooking mortality estimate(s), environment (e.g., water temperature), fish length, fishing-related variables (e.g., gear choice), study design, and the country where the study was conducted (Table 1). We decided to include all identified literature, even in the case of weak experimental design, (e.g., lack of appropri-

ate controls) to increase sample size. Reported survival rates per study were converted into percent mortality. Furthermore, reported initial and/or delay hooking mortality were converted into total mortality, as described by Wilde (1998). We extracted the most important determinants of hooking mortality from each study based on earlier syntheses by Wydoski (1977), Muoneke and Childress (1994), and Bartholomew and Bohnsack (2005). We assumed that the most important determinants of hooking mortality reported in these studies would also apply to European species and/or to species of genera of importance for European recreational fisheries. The final set of potential determinants of hooking mortality comprised water temperature (e.g., Lee and Bergersen 1996; Wilde et al. 2000; Graeb et al. 2005), length of fish (e.g., Dedual 1996), hook type (single versus treble, e.g., DuBois and Dubielzig 2004), existence of a barb on the hook(s) (e.g., DuBois and Dubielzig 2004; DuBois and Kuklinski 2004), and type of bait coded as organic (i.e., natural) versus artificial (e.g., Arlinghaus et al. 2008). Constraints on salient alternative determinants of survivability were caused by too few or no data on further potential factors of hooking mortality (e.g., anatomical hook location, hook size, and capture depth).

Water temperatures were reported with varying precision in the original studies. For example, some authors reported the exact water temperature while others only provided a temperature range over the entire study period. For our analysis, we used the temperature reported in the study or calculated the midpoint within the reported water temperature range. Similarly, when studies reported a range of fish lengths (in millimeters), we used the midpoint fish length for our analysis. Because fish length was not reported in a uniform manner across the studies, total fish length was calculated according conversion equations given by Froese and Pauly (2008). The total length of a fish was categorized into either legal or nonlegal (undersized). To categorize the species into legal categories, a size threshold according to the most common minimum-size limits reported in the various German fisheries bylaws was determined (Table 2). We used the German minimum-size limits because they usually encompass the smallest standards applied to European fish species due

Table 1.—List of hooking mortality studies ($n = 107$) used in the meta-analysis. Data on mortality, water temperature, fish length, bait type, hook type, study design, sample size (N), and country in each study are presented. Bait type was categorized as artificial (artificial bait) and natural (natural bait). Single hooks were abbreviated as "single" and treble hooks as "treble." Barbed hooks are indicated with "+" and barbless hooks with "-". The column study design describes the method and environment in which the study was conducted. Sample size refers to the stated mortality estimation in the corresponding row, and an additional tick mark "✓" labels the inclusion of a control group. The country column depicts the location of the hooking mortality study. References marked with asterisks were not seen but secondarily cited: * cited by Muoneke and Childress (1994), ** cited by Wydoski (1977), and *** cited by Dextrase and Ball (1991). Data used for comparisons in Table 4 are marked with †0 (undersized fish), †1 (legal-sized fish), †2 (artificial bait), †3 (natural bait), †4 (single hook), †5 (treble hook), †6 (barbed hook), and †7 (barbless hook). Temp. = temperature; obs. = observation; sim. = simulated.; telem. = telemetry; nat. = natural

Species	Mortality (%)	Temp. (°C)	Length (mm)	Bait type	Hook type	Study design			N	Location	Reference
						Method	Environment				
Salmonidae											
Arctic grayling <i>Thymallus arcticus</i>	0.0	7.5	125-309	Natural ^{†1}	Single ^{†6}	2-d obs.	Holding pen	60	Alaska	Clark (1991)	
	0.0	7.5	125-309	Artificial ^{†0}	Treble ^{†1}	2-d obs.	Holding pen	60	Washington		
	1.7	7.5	125-309	Artificial ^{†0}	Single ^{†6}	2-d obs.	Holding pen	60			
	0.0	12.7	132-254	Natural ^{†1}	Single ^{†6}	2-d obs.	Holding pen	60✓			
	0.0	12.7	132-254	Artificial ^{†0}	Treble ^{†1}	2-d obs.	Holding pen	60✓			
Arctic grayling	1.7	12.7	132-254	Artificial ^{†0}	Single ^{†6}	2-d obs.	Holding pen	60✓			
	11.7	11.0-13.5	175-405	Artificial ^{†0}	Treble+ ^{†1, †0}		Holding pen	77	Canada	Falk and Gillman (1975)*/**	
Coho salmon	8.6	11.0-13.5	175-405	Artificial ^{†0}	Single- ^{†0, †1}			81			
	14.0	10.0-14.0		Artificial ^{†0}	Single+ ^{†0, †0}	6-h obs.	Tank	79	Canada	Gjernes et al. (1993)	
<i>Oncorhynchus kisutch</i>	16.0	10.0-14.0		Artificial ^{†0}	Treble+ ^{†1, †0}	6-h obs.	Tank	76			
	17.0	10.0-14.0		Artificial ^{†0}	Single- ^{†0, †1}	6-h obs.	Tank	71			
	6.0	10.0-14.0		Artificial ^{†0}	Treble- ^{†1, †1}	6-h obs.	Tank	65			
	42.0		381-610	Artificial ^{†0}	+ ^{†0}	61-d obs.	Holding pen	67	Canada	Milne and Ball (1956)	
Coho salmon	77.0		203-406	Artificial ^{†0}	+ ^{†0}	61-d obs.	Holding pen	9			
	33.0		203-406	Artificial ^{†0}	- ^{†1}	61-d obs.	Holding pen	9			
Coho salmon	6.8			Natural ^{†1}	- ^{†1}		Holding pen	147		Natural Research Consultants (1989)*	
Coho salmon	12.6			Artificial ^{†0}	+ ^{†0}	Mark-recapture		1,060	Oregon	Butler and Loeffel (1972)	
	8.6			Artificial ^{†0}	- ^{†1}	Mark-recapture		1,032			
Coho salmon		69.3	10.0-13.0	Natural ^{†1}	+ ^{†0}	Mark-recapture		384	Alaska	Vincent-Lang et al. (1993)	
	11.7	10.0-13.0		Natural ^{†1}	+ ^{†0}	Mark-recapture		77			
Coho salmon	42.6	13.0-15.0		Artificial ^{†0}	Treble+ ^{†1, †0}	Mark-recapture	Live box	152✓	Alaska	Parker et al. (1959)	
	1.9	15-19	245 ^{†0}	Natural ^{†1}	Single ^{†6}	61-d obs.	Raceway	156✓	Idaho	Schull (1996)	
Rainbow trout <i>O. mykiss</i>	47.4	15-19	245 ^{†0}	Natural ^{†1}	Single ^{†6}	61-d obs.	Raceway	107✓			
	74.3	15-19	245 ^{†0}	Natural ^{†1}	Single ^{†6}	61-d obs.	Raceway	107✓			
Coho salmon	16.0	9.5-13.5	>100 ^{†0}	Natural ^{†1}	Single ^{†6}	Mark-recapture		282			

Table 1.—Continued.

Species	Mortality (%)	Temp. (°C)	Length (mm)	Bait type	Hook type	Study design		N	Location	Reference
						Method	Environment			
Rainbow trout	0.0	17-20	175-325 ^{†1}	Artificial ^{§0}	Single- ^{#0, \$1}	26-d obs.	Live box	150✓	California	Jenkins (2003)
	8.7	17-20	175-325 ^{†1}	Artificial ^{§0}	Single- ^{#0, \$1}	26-d obs.	Holding pen	150✓		
	2.0	17-20	175-325 ^{†1}	Artificial ^{§0}	Single- ^{#0, \$1}	26-d obs.	Holding pen	150✓		
	0.0	17-20	175-325 ^{†1}	Artificial ^{§0}	Single- ^{#0, \$1}	26-d obs.	Holding pen	150✓		
	2.0	17-20	175-325 ^{†1}	Artificial ^{§0}	Treble- ^{#1, \$1}	26-d obs.	Holding pen	150✓		
	0.7	10-16		Artificial ^{§0}	Single ^{#0}	60-d obs.	Holding pen	300✓		
	4.7	10.5-14.5		Artificial ^{§0}	Single- ^{#0, \$1}	21-d obs.	Stream section	150		
	0.7	10.5-14.5		Artificial ^{§0}	Single- ^{#0, \$1}	21-d obs.	Stream section	150		
	3.3	10.5-14.5		Artificial ^{§0}	Single- ^{#0, \$1}	21-d obs.	Stream section	150		
Rainbow trout	2.0			Artificial ^{§0}	Single- ^{†#0}	Blood sampling		415	Alaska	Meka and McCormick (2005)
Rainbow trout	3.9	4.0-17.0	186-440 ^{†1}	Artificial ^{§0}	Single ^{#0}	21-d obs.	Holding pen	457	Colorado	Schisler and Bergersen (1996)
	21.6	4.0-17.0	186-440 ^{†1}	Artificial ^{§0}	Single ^{#0}	21-d obs.	Holding pen	505		
	32.1	4.0-17.0	186-440 ^{†1}	Artificial ^{§0}	Single ^{#0}	21-d obs.	Holding pen	511		
Rainbow trout	3.5		97-378 ^{†0}	Artificial ^{§0}	Treble- ^{†#1, \$0}	2-d obs.	Holding pen	255	Wisconsin	DuBois and Dubielzig (2004)
	4.8		97-378 ^{†0}	Artificial ^{§0}	Treble- ^{†#1, \$1}	2-d obs.	Holding pen	167		
	3.1		97-378 ^{†0}	Artificial ^{§0}	Single- ^{†#0, \$0}	2-d obs.	Holding pen	160		
	1.8		97-378 ^{†0}	Artificial ^{§0}	Single- ^{†#0, \$1}	2-d obs.	Holding pen	114		
Rainbow trout	72.0	15.0		Sim. angling		12-h obs.	Tank	7✓	Canada	Ferguson and Tufts (1992)
	38.0	15.0		Sim. angling		12-h obs.	Tank	✓		
	12.0	15.0		Sim. angling		12-h obs.	Tank	8✓		
Rainbow trout	3.6	4.0-7.0	782-940 ^{†1}			7-month telem.	River	226	Canada	Nelson et al. (2005)
Rainbow trout	39.5	9.4-16.1		Natural ^{§1}	Single ^{#0}	3-d obs.	Holding pen	38	Washington	Pauley and Thomas (1993)
	46.5	9.4-16.1		Natural ^{§1}	Single ^{#0}	3-d obs.	Holding pen	43		
	58.1	9.4-16.1		Natural ^{§1}	Single ^{#0}	3-d obs.	Holding pen	43		
	40.7	9.4-16.1		Natural ^{§1}	Single ^{#0}	3-d obs.	Holding pen	54		
	10.5	9.4-16.1		Artificial ^{§0}	Treble ^{#1}	3-d obs.	Holding pen	38		
	23.8	9.4-16.1		Artificial ^{§0}	Treble ^{#1}	3-d obs.	Holding pen	42		
	15.9	9.4-16.1		Artificial ^{§0}	Single ^{#0}	3-d obs.	Holding pen	44		
Rainbow trout	15.3	16.8	588 ^{†1}		Single ^{#0}	1-d obs.	Holding pen	52	New Zealand	Dedual (1996)
	14.0	16.8	588 ^{†1}		Single ^{#0}	1-d obs.	Holding pen	50		
	7.8	16.8	588 ^{†1}		Single ^{#0}	1-d obs.	Holding pen	51		
	2.2	16.8	588 ^{†1}		Single ^{#0}	1-d obs.	Holding pen	46		

Table 1.—Continued.

Species	Mortality (%)	Temp. (°C)	Length (mm)	Bait type	Hook type	Study design		Location	Reference
						Method	Environment		
Rainbow trout	2.9	8.9	224 ¹⁰	Sim. angling		30-d obs.	Raceway	Montana	Dotson (1982)
	0.0	8.4	249 ¹⁰	Sim. angling		30-d obs.	Raceway		
	5.7	15.0	173 ¹⁰	Sim. angling		30-d obs.	Raceway		
	4.8	15.0	239 ¹⁰	Sim. angling		30-d obs.	Raceway		
	8.6	16.7	236 ¹⁰	Sim. angling		30-d obs.	Raceway		
	2.9	11.1	249 ¹⁰	Sim. angling		30-d obs.	Raceway		
Rainbow trout	87.0	6.0–10.0	200 ¹⁰	Artificial ¹⁰		10-d obs.	Tank	Michigan	Bouck and Ball (1966)
Rainbow trout	8.0	19.0–20.0		Artificial ¹⁰		35-d obs.	Raceway	Colorado	Horak and Klein (1967)
Rainbow trout	23.0	4.0		Natural ¹¹	Single+ ^{10,10}			Colorado	Klein (1974)**
Rainbow trout	10.0		249 ¹⁰	Artificial ¹⁰		2-d obs.	Live box	Colorado	Klein (1966)
	11.0		249 ¹⁰	Artificial ¹⁰		2-d obs.	Live box		
	16.0		249 ¹⁰	Artificial ¹⁰		2-d obs.	Live box		
	22.0		249 ¹⁰	Artificial ¹⁰		2-d obs.	Live box		
Rainbow trout	39.0	12.0–13.0		Natural ¹¹		10-d obs.	Holding pen	South Carolina	Barwick (1985)
	5.0	12.0–13.0		Artificial ¹⁰		10-d obs.	Holding pen		
Rainbow trout	88.5		145 ¹⁰	Natural ¹¹		4-month obs.	Tank	Wisconsin	Mason and Hunt (1967)
	34.5		145 ¹⁰	Natural ¹¹		3-d obs.	Tank	Colorado	Klein (1965)
Rainbow trout	6.1	7.0–14.0	173–302 ¹⁰	Artificial ¹⁰	Single ¹⁰		Raceway		
	3.4	7.0–14.0	173–302 ¹⁰	Artificial ¹⁰	Treble ¹¹		Raceway	Canada	Stringer (1967)
Rainbow trout	5.7	15.0–17.0	193–344 ¹⁰	Artificial ¹⁰	Treble ¹¹		Holding pen		
	36.0	15.0–17.0	193–344 ¹⁰	Natural ¹¹	Single ¹⁰		Holding pen		
Rainbow trout	20.0	12.0–17.0	471 ¹¹	Artificial ¹⁰	Single+ ^{10,10}		Holding pen	Canada	Facchin (1983)
Rainbow trout	5.2		79–272 ¹⁰	Artificial ¹⁰	Single, treble	1-d obs.	Live crate	Michigan	Shetter and Allison (1958)
Rainbow trout	11.3		102–201 ¹⁰	Artificial ¹⁰	Single ¹⁰	1-d obs.	Live crate	Michigan	Shetter and Allison (1955)
	35.4		102–201 ¹⁰	Natural ¹¹	Single ¹⁰	1-d obs.	Live crate		
Rainbow trout	3.3	10.0–14.4		Natural ¹¹	Single+ ^{10,10}			New Mexico	Thompson (1946)**
	5.9			Artificial ¹⁰	Single+ ^{10,10}				
	5.0			Artificial ¹⁰	Single+ ^{10,10}				
Rainbow trout	3.9	15.5	352 ¹¹	Artificial ¹⁰	Single+ ^{10,10}			Washington	Wydoski (1970)**
Rainbow trout	1.2		212–334 ¹¹	Artificial ¹⁰	Single+ ^{10,10}			Alaska	Meka (2004)
Rainbow trout	3.0	15.0–16.0		Sim. angling	Single+ ^{10,10}	30-d recovery	Tank	Texas	Pope et al. (2007)

Table 1.—Continued.

Species	Mortality (%)	Temp. (°C)	Length (mm)	Bait type	Hook type	Study design		Location	Reference	
						Method	Environment			
Cutthroat trout <i>O. clarkii</i>	2.9	2.7-9.4	274-442 ¹¹	Artificial ⁹⁰	Treble ^{#1}	30-d obs.	Live box	Wyoming	Marnell and Hunsaker (1970)	
	6.5	7.8-12.8	274-442 ¹¹	Artificial ⁹⁰	Treble ^{#1}	30-d obs.	Live box	Wyoming		
	4.0	14.4-16.7	274-442 ¹¹	Artificial ⁹⁰	Treble ^{#1}	30-d obs.	Live box	Wyoming		
	0.3	11.1	211 ¹⁰	Artificial ⁹⁰	Single, treble+/-	30-d obs.	Raceway	Montana	Dotson (1982)	
Cutthroat trout	0.3	11.0-17.0	391 ¹¹	Artificial ⁹⁰	Treble+ ^{#1, \$0}	Carcass obs.	River	Wyoming	Schill et al. (1986)	
Cutthroat trout	0.7	10.0		Artificial ⁹⁰	Treble+ ^{#1, \$0}			Wyoming	Benson and Bulkle (1963)	
Cutthroat trout	21.3	17.0		Artificial ⁹⁰	Treble+ ^{#1}			Idaho	T. C. Bjornn (personal communication to R. S. Wydoski)**	
	2.4	7.0		Artificial ⁹⁰	Treble+ ^{#1, \$0}					
	0.4	7.0		Artificial ⁹⁰	Single+ ^{#0, \$0}					
	0.8	7.0		Artificial ⁹⁰	Single- ^{#0, \$1}					
Cutthroat trout	1.2	7.0		Artificial ⁹⁰	-\$1					
	4.0		250-424 ¹¹	Artificial ⁹⁰	Single+ ^{#0, \$0}	10-d obs.	Live box	Wyoming	Hunsaker et al. (1970)	
	3.3		250-424 ¹¹	Artificial ⁹⁰	Single- ^{#0, \$1}	10-d obs.	Live box			
	2.7	4.5-16.9	250-424 ¹¹	Artificial ⁹⁰	Treble+ ^{#1, \$0}	10-d obs.	Live box			
	6.0	4.5-16.9	250-424 ¹¹	Artificial ⁹⁰	Treble- ^{#1, \$1}	10-d obs.	Live box			
	8.2	4.5-16.9	250-424 ¹¹	Artificial, natural	Single ^{#0}	10-d obs.	Live box			
Cutthroat trout	73.0	4.5-16.9	250-424 ¹¹	Artificial, natural	Single ^{#0}	10-d obs.	Live box			
	0.0	5.5-11.0	211-545 ¹¹	Artificial ⁹⁰	Single- ^{#0, \$1}	4-d obs.	Live box	California	Titus and Vanicek (1988)	
	2.1	5.5-11.0	211-545 ¹¹	Artificial ⁹⁰	Treble- ^{#1, \$1}	4-d obs.	Live box			
	2.6	5.5-11.0	211-545 ¹¹	Artificial ⁹⁰	Treble+ ^{#1, \$0}	4-d obs.	Live box			
	59.1	6.0-21.0	235-491 ¹¹	Artificial ⁹⁰	Single- ^{#0, \$1}	4-d obs.	Live box			
	35.3	6.0-21.0	235-491 ¹¹	Artificial ⁹⁰	Treble- ^{#1, \$1}	4-d obs.	Live box			
	48.1	6.0-21.0	235-491 ¹¹	Artificial ⁹⁰	Treble+ ^{#1, \$0}	4-d obs.	Live box			
	3.0	10.5-15.5	216-537 ¹¹	Artificial ⁹⁰	Single- ^{#0, \$1}	4-d obs.	Live box			
	3.5	10.5-15.5	216-537 ¹¹	Artificial ⁹⁰	Treble- ^{#1, \$1}	4-d obs.	Live box			
	0.0	10.5-15.5	216-537 ¹¹	Artificial ⁹⁰	Treble+ ^{#1, \$0}	4-d obs.	Live box			
	11.5		405-750 ^d	Artificial ⁹⁰	Single ^{#0}	5-d radio telem.			Alaska	Bendock and Alexandersdottir (1993)
	1.8		750-1,210 ^d	Artificial ⁹⁰	Single ^{#0}	5-d radio telem.				
6.8		590-1,155 ^d	Artificial ⁹⁰	Single ^{#0}	5-d radio telem.					

Table 1.—Continued.

Species	Mortality (%)	Temp. (°C)	Length (mm)	Bait type	Hook type	Method	Study design		N	Location	Reference
							Environment	Environment			
Chinook salmon	35.0	10.0–14.0		Artificial ^{§0}	Single+ ^{#0,§0}	8-h obs.	Tank	40	Canada	Gjernes et al. (1993)	
	40.0	10.0–14.0		Artificial ^{§0}	Treble+ ^{#1,§0}	8-h obs.	Tank	25			
	23.0	10.0–14.0		Artificial ^{§0}	Single- ^{#0,§1}	8-h obs.	Tank	43			
	23.0	10.0–14.0		Artificial ^{§0}	Treble- ^{#1,§1}	8-h obs.	Tank	16			
Chinook salmon	16.9		>682	Artificial ^{§0}	Single ^{#0}	Released		242	Alaska	Orsi et al. (1993)	
	16.0		>682	Artificial ^{§0}	Single ^{#0}	Released		22			
	11.7		>682	Artificial ^{§0}	Single ^{#0}	Released		121			
	24.5		<682	Artificial ^{§0}	Single ^{#0}	Released		339			
	20.9		<682	Artificial ^{§0}	Single ^{#0}	Released		63			
	16.4		<682	Artificial ^{§0}	Single ^{#0}	Released		217			
Chinook salmon	24.5		<682	Artificial ^{§0}	Single+ ^{#0,§0}	5-d obs.	Holding pen	398	Alaska	Wertheimer (1988)	
	20.5		>682	Artificial ^{§0}	Single+ ^{#0,§0}	5-d obs.	Holding pen	108			
Chinook salmon	2.3		569–1,013			Mark-recapture		633✓	Oregon	Lindsay et al. (2004)	
	17.8		569–1,013			Mark-recapture		39✓			
	0.0		569–1,013			Mark-recapture		15✓			
	81.6		569–1,013			Mark-recapture		112✓			
	67.3		569–1,013			Mark-recapture		70✓			
	12.2		569–1,013			Angler creel survey		2,030			
Chinook salmon	22.1	9.0–11.0	>700	Artificial ^{§0}	Single+ ^{#0,§0}	6-d obs.	Holding pen	913	Alaska	Wertheimer et al. (1989)	
Chinook salmon	8.0			Artificial ^{§0}	+ ^{§0}	Mark-recapture		1,066	Oregon	Butler and Loeffel (1972)	
	6.1			Artificial ^{§0}	- ^{§1}	Mark-recapture		1,041		Natural Research Consultants (1989)*	
Chinook salmon	9.1			Natural ^{§1}	- ^{§1}			66		Parker and Black (1959)	
Chinook salmon	33.3	14.0–15.0		Artificial ^{§0}	Treble+ ^{#1,§0}	Up to 11 h resting	Live box	66	Alaska	Dempson et al. (2002)	
Atlantic salmon	8.2	9.5–22.1				40-d obs.	Holding pen	49✓	Newfound-land	Webb (1998)	
<i>Salmo salar</i>						6-month telem.		25	Scotland	Thorstad et al. (2003)	
Atlantic salmon	16.0					3-month telem.		30	Norway		
Atlantic salmon	3.0	10.0–14.5	520–1,220 ^{#1}								

Table 1.—Continued.

Species	Mortality (%)	Temp. (°C)	Length (mm)	Bait type	Hook type	Method	Study design		N	Location	Reference
							Environment	Environment			
Atlantic salmon	0.3	9.0-16.0	199 ¹⁰	Artificial ⁹⁰	Treble ^{#1}	14-d obs.	Raceway	300✓	Maine	Warner (1976)	
	2.7	9.0-16.0	194 ¹⁰	Artificial ⁹⁰	Single ^{#0}	14-d obs.	Raceway	300✓			
	4.6	9.0-16.0	191 ¹⁰	Artificial ⁹⁰	Single ^{#0}	14-d obs.	Raceway	300✓			
	5.7	9.0-16.0	186 ¹⁰	Natural ⁹¹	Single ^{#0}	14-d obs.	Raceway	300✓			
Atlantic salmon	4.0	14.0-19.0	293-324 ¹⁰	Artificial ⁹⁰	Single ^{#0}	2-d obs.	Holding pen	77✓	Maine	Warner and Johnson (1978)	
	35.0	14.0-19.0	293-324 ¹⁰	Natural ⁹¹	Single ^{#0}	2-d obs.	Holding pen	100✓	Maine	Atlantic Warner (1978)	
	12.0	17.2		Artificial ⁹⁰	Single ^{#0}	5-d obs.	Holding pen	52✓	Maine		
	26.0	17.2		Artificial ⁹⁰	Treble ^{#1}	5-d obs.	Holding pen	39✓			
Atlantic salmon	15.0	17.2		Artificial ⁹⁰	Single ^{#0}	5-d obs.	Holding pen	95✓			
	8.0	17.2		Artificial ⁹⁰	Treble ^{#1}	5-d obs.	Holding pen	116✓			
	73.0 ²	16.1	233-328 ¹⁰	Natural ⁹¹	Single ^{#0}	14-d obs.	Raceway	106✓	Maine	Warner (1979)	
	6.0	13.3	233-328 ¹⁰	Artificial ⁹⁰	Treble ^{#1}	5-d obs.	Raceway	300✓			
Atlantic salmon	4.6	13.3	233-328 ¹⁰	Artificial ⁹⁰	Single ^{#0}	5-d obs.	Raceway	302✓			
	4.1	13.3	233-328 ¹⁰	Artificial ⁹⁰	Single ^{#0}	5-d obs.	Raceway	319✓			
	5.7	13.3	233-328 ¹⁰	Natural ⁹¹	Single ^{#0}	5-d obs.	Raceway	300✓			
	12.0	16.0	<646 ¹⁰	Artificial ⁹⁰	Single ^{#0}	1.5-d obs.	Tank	25✓	Canada	Brobbel et al. (1996)	
Atlantic salmon	0.0	4.0	<646 ¹⁰	Artificial ⁹⁰	Single ^{#0}	1.5-d obs.	Tank	24✓			
	40.0	20.0	<646 ¹⁰	Artificial ⁹⁰	Single ^{#0}	12-h obs.	Tank	10	Canada	Wilkie et al. (1996)	
Atlantic salmon	80.0	20.0	603 ¹¹			3-d obs.	Tank	5	Canada	Anderson et al. (1998)	
	0.0	16.5	558 ¹⁰			3-d obs.	Tank	5			
Atlantic salmon	0.0	8.0	628 ¹¹			3-d obs.	Tank	6			
	0.0	13.0	580-1,100 ¹¹	Artificial ⁹⁰	Treble ^{#1}	42-d telem.		18	Norway	Thorstad et al. 2007	
Brown trout <i>Salmo trutta</i>	2.1	12.0	84-409 ¹⁰	Artificial ⁹⁰	Treble ^{#1}	2-d obs.	Holding pen	97	Wisconsin	DuBois and Dubielzig (2004)	
	2.2	12.0	84-409 ¹⁰	Artificial ⁹⁰	Treble ^{#1}	2-d obs.	Holding pen	46			
	0.0	12.0	84-409 ¹⁰	Artificial ⁹⁰	Single ^{#0}	2-d obs.	Holding pen	35			
	5.3	12.0	84-409 ¹⁰	Artificial ⁹⁰	Single ^{#0}	2-d obs.	Holding pen	38			
Brown trout	3.0	7.2-17.2	144-526 ¹⁰	Natural ⁹¹	Single ^{#0}	3-d obs.	Holding pen	68	Wisconsin	DuBois and Kuklinski (2004)	
	7.8	10.0-18.0	135-228 ¹⁰	Natural ⁹¹	Single ^{#0}	14-d obs.	Aquaria	90✓	New York	Hulbert and Engstrom-Heg (1980)	
Brown trout (1980)	23.0	10.0-18.0	135-228 ¹⁰	Natural ⁹¹	Single ^{#0}	14-d obs.	Aquaria	100✓			
	12.0	10.0-18.0	135-228 ¹⁰	Natural ⁹¹	Single ^{#0}	14-d obs.	Aquaria	100✓			
	13.0	10.0-18.0	135-228 ¹⁰	Natural ⁹¹	Single ^{#0}	14-d obs.	Aquaria	100✓			
	11.0	10.0-18.0	135-228 ¹⁰	Natural ⁹¹	Single ^{#0}	14-d obs.	Aquaria	100✓			
Brown trout	0.9		91-391 ¹⁰	Artificial ⁹⁰	Single, treble	1-d obs.	Live crate	107	Michigan	Shetter and Allison (1958)	

Table 1.—Continued.

Species	Mortality (%)	Temp. (°C)	Length (mm)	Bait type	Hook type	Method	Study design		Reference
							Environment	N	
Brown trout	0.0		76–302 ¹⁰	Artificial ^{§0}	Single ^{#0}	1-d obs.	Live crate	69	Shetter and Allison (1955)
	20.3		76–302 ¹⁰	Natural ^{§1}	Single ^{#0}	1-d obs.	Live crate	59	Michigan Barwick (1985)
Brown trout	7.0	12.0–13.0		Natural ^{§1}		10-d obs.	Holding pen	96	South Carolina
	3.0	12.0–13.0		Artificial ^{§0}		10-d obs.	Holding pen	119	Michigan
Brook trout	3.1	5.6–17.8	<381 ¹⁰	Artificial ^{§0}	Single, treble+, -	2-d obs.	Holding pen	41	Nuhfer and Alexander (1992)
<i>S. fontinalis</i>	8.0	5.6–17.8	>381 ¹¹	Artificial ^{§0}	Single, treble+, -	2-d obs.	Holding pen	33	DuBois and Dubielzig (2004)
Brook trout	9.8		114–278 ¹⁰	Artificial ^{§0}	Treble+ ^{#1,§0}	2-d obs.	Holding pen	30	Wisconsin
	0.0		114–278 ¹⁰	Artificial ^{§0}	Treble- ^{#1,§1}	2-d obs.	Holding pen	23	Wisconsin
	0.0		114–278 ¹⁰	Artificial ^{§0}	Single- ^{#0,§1}	2-d obs.	Holding pen	100	DuBois and Kuklinski (2004)
Brook trout	7.0	7.2–17.2	103–304 ¹⁰	Natural ^{§1}	Single+ ^{#0,§0}	3-d obs.	Holding pen	99	Michigan
	2.0	7.2–17.2	103–304 ¹⁰	Natural ^{§1}	Single- ^{#0,§1}	3-d obs.	Holding pen	550	Shetter and Allison (1955)
Brook trout	37.5		122–241 ¹⁰	Natural ^{§1}	Single ^{#0}	Mark-recapture	Stream channel	484	
	1.7		122–241 ¹⁰	Artificial ^{§0}	Single ^{#0}	Mark-recapture	Stream channel	24	
	54.2		76–251 ¹⁰	Natural ^{§1}	Single ^{#0}	1-d obs.	Live crate	21	
	42.9		76–251 ¹⁰	Natural ^{§1}	Single ^{#0}	1-d obs.	Live crate	23	
	4.3		76–251 ¹⁰	Artificial ^{§0}	Single ^{#0}	1-d obs.	Live crate	135	
	3.0		102–302 ¹⁰	Artificial ^{§0}	Single ^{#0}	1-d obs.	Live crate	102	
	35.3		102–302 ¹⁰	Natural ^{§1}	Single ^{#0}	1-d obs.	Live crate	30	
	56.7		102–302 ¹⁰	Natural ^{§1}	Single ^{#0}	1-d obs.	Live crate	806	Shetter and Allison (1958)
Brook trout	2.6		74–300 ¹⁰	Artificial ^{§0}	Single, treble	1-d obs.	Live crate	400	Westernman (1932)**
Brook trout	8.8			Natural ^{§1}	Single+ ^{#0,§0}			12	Schreer et al. (2005)
	2.8			Artificial ^{§0}	Single+ ^{#0,§0}		Tank	100	DuBois and Pleski 2007
Brook trout	0.0	10.0	275 ¹⁰	Sim. angling	Single+ ^{#0,§0}	42-d obs.	Raceway	67	Loftus et al. (1988)
Brook trout	27.1 ^{2,3}	1.6–8.2	233 ¹⁰	Natural ^{§1}	Single- ^{#0,§1}	42-d obs.	Raceway	17	Lee and Bergersen (1996)
	32.9 ^{2,3}	1.6–8.2	233 ¹⁰	Natural ^{§1}	Single- ^{#0,§1}	42-d obs.	Buoy system	8	Dextrase and Ball (1991)
Lake trout	14.9	4.0–20.0	461–801	Artificial ^{§0}	Single, treble	42-d obs.		50	
<i>S. namaycush</i>									
Lake trout	11.7	<12.0	559–889	Artificial ^{§0}	Treble ^{#1}	Telemetry		17	
	87.5		635–838	Artificial ^{§0}	Treble ^{#1}	Telemetry		8	
Lake trout	10.0	1.0–3.0	250–423	Natural ^{§1}	Single+ ^{#0,§0}	2-d obs.	Holding pen	50	

Table 1.—Continued.

Species	Mortality (%)	Temp. (°C)	Length (mm)	Bait type	Hook type	Study design		N	Location	Reference
						Method	Environment			
Walleye <i>S. vitreus</i> ^b	1.1	8.0–11.0	275–577	Artificial, natural		12-d obs.	Holding pen	180✓	Washington	Fletcher (1987)
Walleye	5.0	13.0–28.0	289–600	Artificial, natural	Single, treble+ ^{8,9}	Mark-recapture	Pond	839✓	Minnesota	Payer et al. (1989)
Walleye	22.8	8.3–11.1	>381			3-d obs.	Holding pen	75	South Dakota	Fiedler and Johnson (1994)
Walleye	20.5	8.3–11.1	>381			3-d obs.	Holding pen	55✓	Minnesota	Goeman (1991)
Walleye	40.0	13.0–14.0				5-d obs.	Holding pen	161✓	Minnesota	Goeman (1991)
Walleye	2.0	7.0				68-h obs.	Tank	75✓	South Dakota	Graeb et al. (2005)
	15.0	14.0				68-h obs.	Tank	75✓		
	79.0	19.0				68-h obs.	Tank	14✓		
Walleye	0.8	16.0–20.0		Artificial, natural	Single, treble+, -	2-d obs.	Live box	240	Canada	Schaefer (1989)
Walleye	57.4 ³	24.0–26.0				Carcass obs.	Lake	2,701	Wisconsin	Hoffman et al. (1996)
Walleye	0.0	10.0–26.3	203–762		Single+ ^{40,50}	120-h obs.	Holding pen	204	Minnesota	Reeves and Brusewitz (2007)
	3.5	10.0–26.3	203–762		Single+ ^{40,50}	120-h obs.	Holding pen	473		
	12.2	10.0–26.3	203–762		Single+ ^{40,50}	120-h obs.	Holding pen	406		
	2.6	10.0–26.3	203–762		Single+ ^{40,50}	120-h obs.	Holding pen	79		
	0.0	10.0–26.3	203–762		Single+ ^{40,50}	120-h obs.	Holding pen	79		
<i>Sander</i> sp.	0.0 ⁷	21.0	258–687		Single ⁴⁰	120-h obs.	Holding pen			Parks and Kraai (1991)*
	39.4 ⁷	22.0–24.0	258–687		Single ⁴⁰					
Yellow perch	80.0 ⁷	24.0–27.0	258–687		Single ⁴⁰					
<i>Perca flavescens</i>	2.0 ^{9,10}	18.2–20.3			Single ⁴⁰				Illinois	Keniry et al. (1996)
	2.0 ^{9,10}	18.2–20.3								
Cyprinidae										
Common bream	7.7 ¹⁰	12.0–18.0							Netherlands	Raat et al. (1997)
<i>Abramis brama</i>	10.9 ¹⁰	12.0–18.0								
Common carp	2.0 ¹⁰			Natural ⁸¹					Netherlands	Beukema (1970)
<i>Cyprinus carpio</i>										
Common carp	5.0	18.0–22.0	341 ¹⁰	Natural ⁸¹		22-d angling	Pond	121	Netherlands	Raat (1985)
	3.0	18.0–22.0	383 ¹¹	Natural ⁸¹		22-d angling	Pond	119		

^a = previously *Salmo clarkii clarkii*; ^b = previously *Stizostedion canadense*; ^c = previously *Stizostedion vitreum*; ^d = mid-eye length; ^e = fork length; ^f = hooking mortality studies with deeply hooked fishes; ^g = mortality calculated according to Wilde (1998); ^h = data correspond to salmonid hybrids *Salvelinus fontinalis* × *S. namaycush*; ⁱ = data correspond to esocid hybrids *Esox masquinongy* × *E. lucius*; ^j = data correspond to 38 esocid species (9 muskellunge and 12 hybrids); ^k = data correspond to percid species (walleye and sauger); ^l = gas bladder not punctured; ^m = gas bladder punctured; ⁿ = no hooking mortality study.

Table 2.—Length limit key applied for assigning undersized and legal-sized fish. The most common minimum-size limit applied in Germany was used. Only these species were included in the fish size analysis in the present paper.

Fish species mentioned in German fisheries bylaws	Minimum-size limit
Common carp <i>Cyprinus carpio</i>	350 mm
Northern pike <i>Esox lucius</i>	500 mm
Rainbow trout <i>Oncorhynchus mykiss</i> and cutthroat trout <i>O. clarkii</i>	250 mm
Atlantic salmon <i>Salmo salar</i>	600 mm
Brown trout <i>S. trutta</i>	250 mm
Brook trout <i>Salvelinus fontinalis</i>	300 mm
Zander <i>Sander lucioperca</i>	450 mm

to the consumptive nature of German recreational fisheries that promotes removal of the smallest size-classes of mature fish species. Due to the lack of assignability of divergent minimum-size limits of European to non-European fish species (e.g., it would be unwise to assign a minimum-size limit of northern pike *Esox lucius* to muskellunge *E. masquinongy*), we excluded non-European species from the calculation of fish length as a determinant of hooking mortality in the current study (see Table 2 for species included in this analysis). Terminal gear used in each study was grouped into artificial bait (lure, spoon, spinner, and fly) and natural bait (worm and baitfish). The hooks, which were used in the experiments in the different studies, were classified into single and treble hooks and into barbed and barbless hooks.

The statistical analysis was conducted assuming independence of each hooking mortality estimate extracted from the primary literature (Taylor and White 1992). To compare the average hooking mortality between species groups, the analysis was first descriptively conducted for the entire data set. Then, differences between the groups of salmonid and percid species were calculated. Because of a lack of an adequate sample size of mortality estimates, the Esocidae and Cyprinidae were excluded from the family-specific analyses. A finer scale breakdown to the level of the individual species was not possible due to small sample size. For statistical analysis of hooking mortality rates and determinants of hooking mortality, percent mortality was arcsine square root-transformed according to Zar (1996). We tested the effect of the five potential determinants of hooking mortality across all species and specifically for

Salmonidae and Percidae using a factor-specific approach similar to Bartholomew and Bohnsack (2005). Missing values were too high for some factors to allow a multivariate analysis of the impact of all five potential determinants of hooking mortality simultaneously; therefore, univariate analysis on the determinants of hooking mortality was conducted for each of the five determinants separately.

Spearman's rank correlations were conducted to determine the influence of water temperature on hooking mortality. One-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) and *t*-tests were used to investigate differences of hooking mortality between fish families and between different levels of nominally coded determinants of hooking mortality (e.g., barbed versus barbless hooks). For ANOVAs, a Tukey-HSD post hoc test at homogenous variances between factors and a Dunnett's T3 post hoc test at heterogeneous variances were used. To test the homogeneity of variances, Levene's tests were conducted. All statistical analyses were conducted with SPSS (Statistical Package for the Social Sciences) version 13.0. Threshold of significance in all tests was $\alpha = 0.05$.

Results

Descriptive Data

We identified $n = 107$ individual hooking mortality studies. From these studies, $n = 252$ individual estimates of hooking mortality for $n = 8$ European fishes and $n = 10$ species from the same genus were compiled. The greatest number of hooking mortality estimates was identified for Salmonidae ($n = 213$), followed by Percidae ($n = 22$), Esocidae ($n = 12$), and Cyprinidae

($n = 5$). Most mortality estimates (27%) were available for rainbow trout ($n = 67$) followed by cutthroat trout ($n = 26$), Chinook salmon ($n = 26$), and Atlantic salmon *Salmo salar* ($n = 25$) (Table 3). The former species are not all native to Europe, but, for example, rainbow trout was introduced in the late 19th century and is today a popular recreational fish species in many European countries.

Mean hooking mortality across species was 15.9%, the median was 7.8% and the range 0–88.5% (see Table 3 for measures of variance). Most estimates of hooking mortality were low, with 57.1% of all hooking mortality values below 10%, 15.9% between 10 and 20%, 8.0% between 20% and 30%, and 19.0% greater than

30%. Only 7.9% of all hooking mortality estimates were greater than 50%.

Average hooking mortality was highest for Percidae (19.9%) followed by Salmonidae (15.9%), Esocidae (14.9%), and Cyprinidae (5.7%) (see Table 3 for measures of variance), but these differences were not significant ($F = 0.528$, $df = 3$, $P = 0.664$). Within the Salmonidae, the highest average mortality was found in coho salmon (27.4%), followed by lake trout *Salvelinus namaycush* (21.2%), Chinook salmon (21.2%), rainbow trout (17.1%), and Atlantic salmon (14.6%) (Table 3). Within Percidae, the highest average mortality was determined for zander (27.5%). Average mortality was considerably higher for muskellunge (30.7%) than

Table 3.—Descriptive data of hooking mortality estimates of native European fish species and non-native fish species from the same genus (called related).

Family	Species	Attribution	n (studies)	n (estimates)	mean \pm SE (%)
Salmonidae	Arctic grayling <i>Thymallus arcticus</i>	Related	2	8	3.0 \pm 1.6
	Coho salmon <i>Oncorhynchus kisutch</i>	Related	6	13	27.4 \pm 6.6
	Rainbow trout <i>O. mykiss</i>	Native ^a	24	67	17.1 \pm 2.6
	Cutthroat trout <i>O. clarkii</i>	Related	7	26	11.1 \pm 3.9
	Chinook salmon <i>O. tshawytscha</i>	Related	9	26	21.2 \pm 3.7
	Atlantic salmon <i>Salmo salar</i>	Native	12	25	14.6 \pm 4.3
	Brown trout <i>S. trutta</i>	Native	6	15	7.4 \pm 1.9
	Brook trout <i>Salvelinus fontinalis</i>	Native ^a	8	22	15.4 \pm 4.0
	Lake trout <i>S. namaycush</i>	Related	6	9	21.2 \pm 8.7
	<i>Salvelinus fontinalis</i> \times <i>S. namaycush</i>	Related	1	1	7.0
	Whitespotted char <i>S. leucomaenis</i>	Related	1	1	0.1
	Mean		82	213	15.9 \pm 1.4
Esocidae	Northern pike <i>Esox lucius</i>	Native	4	6	7.1 \pm 5.3
	Muskellunge <i>E. masquinongy</i>	Related	4	4	30.7 \pm 18.5
	<i>Esox lucius</i> \times <i>E. masquinongy</i>	Related	1	1	11.7
	Diverse <i>Esox</i> species	Related	1	1	1.7
	Mean		10	12	14.9 \pm 7.0
Percidae	Zander <i>Sander lucioperca</i>	Native	1	1	27.5
	Sauger <i>S. candensis</i>	Related	1	1	8.0
	Walleye <i>S. vitreus</i>	Related	8	15	17.5 \pm 6.1
	<i>Sander vitreus</i> \times <i>S. canadensis</i>	Related	1	3	39.8 \pm 23.1
	Yellow perch <i>Perca flavescens</i>	Related	1	2	11.0
	Mean		12	22	19.9 \pm 5.3
Cyprinidae	Common bream <i>Abramis brama</i>	Native	1	2	9.3
	Common carp <i>Cyprinus carpio</i>	Native	2	3	3.3 \pm 0.9
	Mean		3	5	5.7 \pm 1.6
Total			107	252	15.9 \pm 1.3

^a Formerly introduced to Europe but nowadays identified as native in some countries such as Germany.

for northern pike (7.1%). Cyprinidae, common carp *Cyprinus carpio*, and common bream *Abramis brama* were characterized by a low-average hooking mortality (<9.3%), but sample size was low.

Determinants of Hooking Mortality

All species.—Water temperature was significantly positively related to overall hooking mortality across all species (Spearman rank correlation, $\rho = 0.165$, $P = 0.037$, $n = 161$). Hooking mortality did not depend on size of fish comparing average mortality of undersized and legal-sized fish (Table 4). However, there was a significant difference in the average mortality of fish captured on artificial lures (11.4%) compared to those captured on natural bait (25.9%, see Table 4 for measures of variability). Mortality was significantly higher for fish captured with barbed hooks (14.6%) compared to fish caught with barbless hooks (8.2%). Across all species, type of hook (single or treble) did not influence the level of hooking mortality (Table 4).

Salmonidae.—Water temperature positively and significantly correlated with hooking mortality in salmonids ($\rho = 0.254$, $P = 0.003$, $n = 132$). Similarly to the analysis using the entire data set, average mortality did not differ between undersized and legal-sized salmonids (Table 4). However, mortality caused by artificial angling baits (11.6%) was significantly lower compared to natural baits (27.0%). Within the salmonid family, hooking mortality did not vary with hook type, but average mortality of Salmonidae caught on barbed hooks (15.1%) was significantly higher than for fish caught on barbless hooks (8.6%).

Percidae.—Water temperature ($\rho = 0.183$, $P = 0.415$, $n = 22$) was unrelated to hooking mortality in Percidae. All comparisons of determinants were not assessed due to low sample size (Table 4).

Discussion

Our study represents the first compilation of hooking mortality estimates for European freshwater or diadromous fish species and their relatives within the same genus. The great popularity of catch and release in recre-

ational fisheries and the need to release undersized or otherwise protected fish in almost all recreational fisheries in Europe increases the importance of understanding and minimizing catch-and-release-related hooking mortality. To achieve the basic assumption of catch and release—that released fish survive the capture event unharmed—it is indispensable to study the determinants of hooking mortality and use this knowledge to improve handling techniques and thereby increase survival (Arlinghaus et al. 2007a, 2007b). Using a different data set, our results were found surprisingly similar to many of the insights from an earlier meta-analysis by Bartholomew and Bohnsack (2005). Hence, some level of generalization across species and ecological environments (e.g., freshwater versus saltwater) on the determinants of hooking mortality may be possible. However, the great variability in hooking mortality across species identified in our study and in previous reviews (Muoneke and Childress 1994; Bartholomew and Bohnsack 2005; Cooke and Suski 2005) indicates that such generalization must be conducted with care.

Our data supported the meta-analysis by Bartholomew and Bohnsack (2005) in terms of the overall highly skewed distributional pattern of hooking mortality estimates across species, with most estimates being low and only few outliers present. We found an average hooking mortality across all species of 15.9%, which is slightly lower than the average value for all species (18%, including marine) reported by Bartholomew and Bohnsack (2005). Similarly, our median value of 7.8% was lower than the median (11%) reported by Bartholomew and Bohnsack (2005). The wide range of mortality estimates reported in the present paper (0–88.5%) agreed well with the review by Muoneke and Childress (1994), which resulted from a limited set of studies with unusually high hooking mortality reports. The mentioned exceptional high hooking mortality rates were caused in deeply hooked fish (Mason and Hunt 1967; 88.5%) or where fish were caught in very unfavorable (mostly high) water temperatures (e.g., Parks and Kraai 1991, 80.0%; Graeb et al. 2005, 79.0%).

The significant positive relation between water temperature and hooking mortality identified by Bartholomew and Bohnsack (2005)

Table 4.—Mean hooking mortality (\pm SE) caused by four variables across all species and specific for Salmonidae and Percidae.

Variable	All species		Salmonidae		Percidae	
	Mean \pm SE (n)	Statistics	Mean \pm SE (n)	Statistics	Mean \pm SE (n)	Statistics
Length ^a						
Undersized	15.4 \pm 2.3 (80)	$t = 1.44, df = 123, P = 0.151$	15.4 \pm 2.5 (76)	$t = 0.99, df = 114, P = 0.321$	27.5 (1)	^b
Legal length	11.2 \pm 2.8 (45)		12.3 \pm 3.2 (40)		(0)	
Bait type						
Artificial bait	11.4 \pm 1.3 (142)	$t = -4.15, df = 73.85, P < 0.000$	11.6 \pm 1.4 (136)	$t = -4.46, df = 64.42, P < 0.000$	8.0 (1)	^b
Natural bait	25.9 \pm 3.3 (53)		27.0 \pm 3.4 (46)		(0)	
Hook type						
Single hook	15.9 \pm 1.8 (120)	$t = 1.20, df = 165, P = 0.232$	15.4 \pm 1.7 (110)	$t = 0.97, df = 152, P = 0.332$	7.2 \pm 10.1 (8)	^b
Treble hook	11.7 \pm 2.4 (47)		12.4 \pm 2.6 (44)		(0)	
Type of barb						
Barbed	14.6 \pm 2.6 (57)	$t = 2.01, df = 96.99, P = 0.047$	15.1 \pm 2.6 (48)	$t = 2.00, df = 86, P = 0.048$	3.9 \pm 1.8 (6)	^b
Barbless	8.2 \pm 1.9 (42)		8.6 \pm 2.0 (40)		(0)	

^a Comprised hooking mortality estimates of rainbow trout, cutthroat trout, Atlantic salmon, brown trout, brook trout, northern pike, zander, and common carp according to the stated minimum size limits.

^b Statistical tests not possible due to small sample size.

was confirmed in the present study across all species and for Salmonidae. The increasing probability of death after release with warming water has been repeatedly described for many recreational fish species, including various salmonid fish species (Arlinghaus et al. 2007b). For example, in rainbow trout, Dotson (1982) found an increased hooking mortality from 0% to 8.6%, with an increase in water temperature from 8.3°C to 16.1°C. Unfortunately, Bartholomew and Bohnsack (2005) only contrasted "cool" and "warm" water temperature but did not identify thresholds between these two categories. Previous studies on a variety of species determined species-specific water temperature thresholds (Hoffman et al. 1996; Graeb et al. 2005; Reeves and Brusewitz 2007). Above these thresholds, hooking mortality sharply increases (Hoffman et al. 1996; Wilkie et al. 1997; Dempson et al. 2002; Graeb et al. 2005). For example, in a review in Atlantic salmon, Thorstad et al. (2003) reported a sudden mortality increase at water temperatures greater than 18°C (see also Wilkie et al. 1997; Dempson et al. 2002), whereas mortality was low between water temperatures ranging from 8°C to less than 18°C. Also, Anderson et al. (1998) showed a temperature threshold for hooking mortality in Atlantic salmon. They found 0% hooking mortality for salmon captured and release at 8°C and 16.5°C and strongly increased hooking mortality of 80.0% for a water temperature of 20°C. Analogous to Salmonidae, hooking mortality has been found to increase strongly with increasing water temperatures in various Esocidae and Percidae (Storck and Newman 1992; Hoffman et al. 1996; Wilde et al. 2000; Graeb et al. 2005). The difference in hooking mortality at high and low water temperature probably reflects increased physiological stress responses at higher temperatures for most cold- or cool-water adapted fish species (Wydoski et al. 1976; Gustavson et al. 1991; Wilkie et al. 1997). Furthermore, fish have a particularly high requirement for dissolved oxygen at high water temperature, which increases when they are stressed by the catch-and-release event at high water temperature (Portz et al. 2006). Also, there is potential for increased speed of infections of hooking wounds at higher water temperature (Muoneke 1992), which collectively explains the significantly positive relationship

between water temperature and hooking mortality found in the present meta-analyses and in various earlier reports cited above.

Similar to the meta-analysis by Bartholomew and Bohnsack (2005), we did not detect a differential mortality of legal and undersized fish. Because we classified fish sizes into two categories (i.e., smaller or larger than a "typical" minimum-size limit), our results can only cautiously be compared with literature reports. Obviously, minimum-size limit regulations differ across the world, even from one water body to the other (Paukert et al. 2001). Nevertheless, we considered the classification into legal and sublegal fish useful because the success of any form of minimum-size limit depends on the high survival rate of undersized fish being released mandatorily. The lack of relation between fish size and hooking mortality in the present study agreed various previous studies for different fish species (Klein 1966; Warner and Johnson 1978; Storck and Newman 1992; Schill 1996; Dedual 1996; Bartholomew and Bohnsack 2005), but there are also contradictory findings in various recreationally important fish species (e.g., Loftus et al. 1988; Wertheimer 1988; Bendock and Alexanderdottir 1993; Schisler and Bergersen 1996; Burr 1998; Reeves and Brusewitz 2007). Giving these findings and despite the lack of significant relation between "legal" and "undersized" fish and hooking mortality in the present meta-analysis and the lack of a differential mortality for small and large fish in Bartholomew and Bohnsack (2005), there seems to be little room for generalization about the influence of fish size and hooking mortality. However, the important message is that if water temperature is not critical and injury rates are low and handling is appropriate (e.g., low level of air exposure and quick hook removal), undersized fish (i.e., likely immature and small individuals) can have a similar survival probability to legal-sized fish (i.e., likely mature and large individuals). These are good news for fisheries manager interesting in saving immature fish from death using appropriately high minimum-size regulations in European recreational fisheries.

We found, in analogy to Bartholomew and Bohnsack (2005), that European fish species captured on natural bait exhibited a significantly higher hooking mortality than those

captured on artificial bait. The coherence between natural baits and high hooking mortality was true across all fish species and specifically for salmonids. Similar findings have been previously reported. For example, in Atlantic salmon, Warner and Johnson (1978) reported a hooking mortality of 35% for fish captured on natural bait (worm) and a hooking mortality of only 4% for fish captured with artificial bait (fly). Similarly, Payer et al. (1989) found that walleye caught with live natural bait suffered from a higher hooking mortality (10%) than walleye captured with artificial lures (0%). Previous reviews identified anatomical hooking location and the injury of lethal organs as the most important factor of hooking mortality for most fish species (Muoneke and Childress 1994; Bartholomew and Bohnsack 2005). Fish typically ingest natural bait more deeply than artificial bait (Muoneke and Childress 1994), which was documented for various salmonids (Warner 1976; Warner and Johnson 1978; Taylor and White 1992), percids (Payer et al. 1989), and esocids (DuBois et al. 1994; Arlinghaus et al. 2008). Deep hooking not only increases the hook removal times, and thus air exposure, but also increases the likelihood of bleeding and lethal injury (Arlinghaus et al. 2008), which is known to correlate strongly and positively with hooking mortality in fish (Payer et al. 1989; DuBois et al. 1994; Person and Hirsch 1994).

We did not detect a significant relation between type of hook (single versus treble) and hooking mortality in our meta-analysis, which is in agreement with findings by Bartholomew and Bohnsack (2005) and also corresponded with an earlier meta-analysis by Taylor and White (1992) for salmonids. However, inconsistencies in research results remain. Some previous research has shown that treble hooks caused less mortality compared to single hooks because they hook the fish shallower (Muoneke and Childress 1994; e.g., in rainbow trout, brown trout *Salmo trutta*, lake trout [Klein 1965; DuBois and Dubielzig 2004], coho salmon, Chinook salmon [Gjernes et al. 1993], sauger [Bettoli et al. 2000], and northern pike [DuBois et al. 1994]). By contrast, Jenkins (2003) found no differences in hooking location of single and treble hooks in rainbow trout. The effect of hook type on hooking mortality seems largely species-specific and may depend on the size of

the hook relative to the gape of the fish. Moreover, the type of fishing (active versus passive) and the specific foraging behavior of the fish will determine hooking location of either single or treble hooks (Schisler and Bergersen 1996). The demonstrated complexity in hook type-related mortality and the possibility of confounding variables such as fishing technique that was uncontrolled in the present meta-analysis probably explains the lack of a generally significant relation between hook type and hooking mortality in this study.

Our research sheds some more light on the conservation value of barbless hooks compared to barbed hooks (Taylor and White 1992; Schill and Scarpella 1997; DuBois and Dubielzig 2004; DuBois and Kuklinski 2004; Meka 2004). Our study has similar results to Bartholomew and Bohnsack (2005), who also reported that barbless hooks caused less hooking mortality compared to barbed hooks across various fish species. Higher mortality caused by barbed hooks could be related to increased level of injury and bleeding (Gjernes 1993; Muoneke and Childress 1994; Meka 2004; DuBois and Pleski 2007). Moreover, removal of barbed hooks may be more time consuming and increase air exposure and physiological stress levels in fish (Cooke et al. 2001). However, our findings contrast with Wydoski (1977), Schill and Scarpella (1997), DuBois and Dubielzig (2004), and DuBois and Kuklinski (2004), who reported that the use of barbed or barbless hooks has no influence on hooking mortality and is rather a "social issue" (Schill and Scarpella 1997). While we cannot discount the possibility that the significant relationship between barbed hooks and hooking mortality in the present work is spurious and potentially a result of an uncontrolled confounding variable (e.g., fish size and temperature), our findings support the idea that there might be some conservation value in using barbless hooks.

Hooking mortality is affected by more factors than we describe in the present study (see Bartholomew and Bohnsack 2005; Arlinghaus et al. 2007b for a complete list). Further determinants are for instance anatomical hooking location, water depth where fish are caught, and level of air exposure and handling time, and there can of course be various interactive effects (e.g., between air exposure and temperature; Gingerich et al. 2007). Gingerich et al.

(2007) stated that the determinants of hooking mortality in the context of recreational fishing were often studied independently. The authors highlighted the importance of interactive effects using the example of air exposure duration and water temperature on survival of bluegill. They showed that mortality strongly increased with increased air exposure duration and high water temperature. However, at low to moderate water temperatures, high air exposure durations did not result in high mortality rates. Due to lack of sample size, we were unable to study such or other interactive effects, nor could we conduct multivariate analyses controlling for the individual effect of selected determinants. Thus, there remains a need for increased research on interactive effects of various factors on hooking mortality, and insights from such research can moderate the findings reported here and in Bartholomew and Bohnsack (2005) for individual hooking mortality determinants.

In terms of further limitations of our study, much previous research on hooking mortality has been conducted under controlled conditions in holding pens or cages (Table 1). In addition to the fundamental issue of many studies lacking appropriate control fish (Pollock and Pine 2007), which may have influenced the results of the present study due to inclusion of all identified hooking mortality studies irrespective of their quality, there seems to be a heavy focus towards estimating initial hooking mortality defined as immediate mortality at capture up to 24 h postcapture (e.g., Bouck and Ball 1966; Mason and Hunt 1967). By contrast, delayed mortality defined as mortality happening 24 h up to several weeks after the capture-and-release event (e.g., Wilde 1998) is normally not estimated for methodological reasons. We used the category of total mortality (Wilde 1998) in our analysis, but we must be reminded that in many cases, no estimate of delayed mortality was available. This might have influenced the result of the present meta-analysis. Estimating delayed mortality after catch-and-release fishing using appropriate technology such as catching and releasing previously tagged and fully recovered individuals (either using acoustic or radio tracking; see Donaldson et al. 2008) constitute an important research and management issue for the future

because this mortality is often not detected in the routine practice of releasing fish, which may defeat the underlying objective of many harvest regulations in recreational fisheries (Coggins et al. 2007).

Our literature review and Arlinghaus et al. (2007b) has highlighted the limited research on native European fish species in the context of catch and release, and among the available research there has been a heavy focus on salmonids. Development of sustainable management of European recreational fish species demands increased knowledge about the determinants of hooking mortality for the many generally understudied European fish species of recreational importance. In Table 5, a compilation of major research needs in the context of catch and release for European species is presented. For example, although small cyprinids and also common carp are almost exclusively catch-and-release fisheries in some countries (e.g., United Kingdom, North 2002; Arlinghaus 2007), there is little information available on the determinants of hooking mortality on small-bodied cyprinid species such as roach *Rutilus rutilus* or rudd *Scardinius erythrophthalmus*. Further research should not only be conducted on hooking mortality and its determinants (e.g., handling techniques and hook types and sizes) in a variety of European fish species (Table 5), but should also include the emerging field of the sublethal impacts of catch and release and associated practices such as retention in keep nets, so-called carp sacks, or lines (in European catfish *Silurus glanis*) on physiology, behavior, and reproductive output and fitness (Cooke et al. 2002; Arlinghaus et al. 2007b). Moreover, there is a need to analyze the interactive effect of various stressors on survival and sublethal reactions of European fish species to its full extent. Among these, one challenge stands out—to tackle the emerging question of barotrauma (Nguyen et al. 2009; Schreer et al. 2009; Wilde 2009) in percid fishes such as zander and Eurasian perch *Perca fluviatilis*, which can happen at comparatively shallow capture depth of several meters (see Shasteen and Sheehan 1997; Morrissey et al. 2005; Gravel and Cooke 2008; Schreer et al. 2009) and might be strongly mediated by water temperature and other as yet unresolved factors.

Table 5.—Summary hooking mortality research and future research needs of species of importance in European recreational fisheries. Level of knowledge is classified from deficient to excellent, with a medium category.

Family	Species	Level of knowledge	Future research needs
Salmonidae	Grayling <i>Thymallus thymallus</i>	Deficient	There are no hooking mortality studies on grayling. Detailed experiments on grayling should be conducted to evaluate the effects of catch-and-release recreational fisheries on this highly important recreational fish species, alongside important determinants of hooking mortality such as water temperature and hooking location.
	Rainbow trout <i>Oncorhynchus mykiss</i>	Excellent	A comprehensive amount of hooking mortality studies in rainbow trout is available. Future research on rainbow trout may focus on the interactive effects of multiple angling-induced stressors on hooking mortality (e.g., handling techniques at different water temperatures).
	Atlantic salmon <i>Salmo salar</i> and brown trout <i>S. trutta</i>	Median	There are moderate amounts of studies on <i>Salmo</i> spp. Gear research, handling techniques and research on the fitness impacts of catch and release is warranted as well as studies on interactive effects on hooking mortality. For lake-dwelling brown trout forms in deep lakes, barotrauma research is needed.
	Brook trout <i>Salvelinus fontinalis</i> and Arctic char <i>S. alpinus</i>	Median/ deficient	The recommendations for future research in <i>Salvelinus</i> spp. are identical to those given for <i>Salmo</i> spp. Note that no catch-and-release study have been conducted on Arctic charr, with one exception in the gray literature by McKinley (1993).
	Danube salmon <i>Hucho hucho</i>	Deficient	For Danube salmon, no catch-and-release studies exist although conservation of this species is an important fisheries management issue.
Esocidae	Northern pike <i>Esox lucius</i>	Median	The knowledge about the consequences of catch-and-release in northern pike needs extension. Current knowledge is mainly U.S.-based, with few exceptions (Arlinghaus et al. 2008, 2009; Klefoth et al. 2008). However, northern pike angling is popular in European recreational fisheries. One major field of research is the impact of water temperature in this

Table 5.—Continued.

Family	Species	Level of knowledge	Future research needs
Percidae	Zander <i>Sander luciperca</i>	Deficient	mesothermal species. Research on pike should encompass the effect of the environment (e.g., salinity in brackish water) as well as size of fish and related handling practices (e.g., vertical hold) on the survival and recovery after release. No research on the determinants of catch and release has been conducted in European zander, with one exception under pond conditions by Arlinghaus and Hallermann (2007). An overall need for catch-and-release studies exists because of the great popularity of this species in European recreational fisheries, in particular focusing on sublethal stressors, handling, and barotrauma in this physoclist.
	Eurasian perch <i>Perca fluviatilis</i>	Deficient	Currently, there is no hooking mortality available for this important fish species. Besides research on the general determinants of hooking mortality and sublethal endpoints, we propose studying the impact of capture depth on this physoclistic species (e.g. Keniry et al. 1996).
Cyprinidae	Large-sized cyprinides (e.g., common carp <i>Cyprinus carpio</i> and asp <i>Aspius aspius</i>)	Deficient	Recreational fishing for carp is very in Europe (Arlinghaus 2007). Only a few catch-and-release studies on common carp are available (e.g., Rapp et al. 2008), and no research on asp is available. Research needs encompasses studies on behavioral and growth impacts of this practice, as well as the impact of multiple recaptures because trophy carp are recaptured multiple times throughout their lifetime.
	Medium- and small-sized cyprinids (e.g., common bream <i>Abramis brama</i> , barbel <i>Barbus barbus</i> , silver bream <i>A. bjoerkna</i> , crucian carp <i>Carassius carassius</i> , European chub <i>Leuciscus cephalus</i> , ide <i>L. idus</i> , roach <i>Rutilus rutilus</i> , rudd <i>Scardinius erythrophthalmus</i> , and tench <i>Tinca tinca</i>)	Deficient	There is currently only very little research conducted on the lethal and sublethal impacts associated with catch and release, mostly focusing on the widespread usage of keepnets in recreational coarse fisheries for cyprinids (Raat et al. 1997; Pottinger 1998; Gallardo et al. 2009). These keepnets are used to hold fish during tournaments and other type of coarse fishing all over Europe, and there is a need to evaluate further the impact

Table 5.—Continued.

Family	Species	Level of knowledge	Future research needs
Siluridae	Wels catfish <i>Silurus glanis</i>	Deficient	<p>of this type of retention along with evaluation of various forms on survival postrelease. Anecdotal evidence suggests that warmwater mortality of roach is very high, but this assumption needs to be investigated further, including analysis of various handling techniques without retention and prior to release (e.g., wet hands, dry hands) on disease outbreak and fungus infections postrelease.</p> <p>There are no hooking mortality studies on European catfish. An overall need for catch-and-release studies exists because of the very popular trophy fisheries for wels catfish in Europe. Catfish anglers often use "lining" for trophy fish overnight. There is a need for studies to evaluate this practice in terms of hooking mortality and behavioural change postrelease.</p>
Lotidae	Burbot <i>Lota lota</i>	Deficient	<p>Currently, there are no catch-and-release-related mortality studies on burbot available. Research needs exists for all aspects of catch and release on burbot because of the popularity of this freshwater species in European recreational fisheries during the cold season and in deeper lakes.</p>
Centrarchidae	Largemouth bass <i>Micropterus salmoides</i>	Excellent	<p>The knowledge on catch-and-release fishing of largemouth bass is excellent. In southern Europe (Italy, Spain, and some regions in France) largemouth bass was introduced and is nowadays a popular game fish. In spite of the great amount of knowledge on catch and release in North America (e.g., Hanson et al. 2007; Siepker et al. 2007; Thompson et al. 2008; White et al. 2008; Siepker et al. 2009), there is a need to study the interactive effects of different determinants of hooking mortality in catch-and-release <i>Micropterus</i> spp. fisheries (see Gingerich et al. 2007).</p>

Management Implications

The live release of fish is useful to conserve and sustainably manage recreational fisheries, and it is a practice associated with all forms of size-based harvest limits (Burkholder 1992; Brobbel et al. 1996; Webb 1998; Dempson et al. 2002; Thorstad et al. 2003; Tsuboi and Morita 2004; Cooke and Suski 2005). Its importance is therefore likely to increase world-wide in most, if not all, recreational fisheries (Arlinghaus et al. 2007b). We present a positive outlook in that most hooking mortality estimates for European fish species or their relatives from the same genus reported so far were below 10%. It is important to realize that the average and median hooking mortality estimates in the present study were based on experimental studies published in the literature. Researchers might be inclined to induce a large gradient of treatments such that larger hooking mortality rates are estimated and reported than are likely to be induced under normal practical conditions. Because of this issue, one should not take the published average hooking mortality rates and uncritically assume they will occur in every recreational fishery. Instead, as many studies reviewed in the present paper have shown, hooking mortality rates can be very low in many situations and often approach zero (Pope and Wilde 2004; Pope et al. 2007; Thorstad et al. 2007). Nevertheless, there is further scope to keep hooking mortality low or even absent, and the findings of the present study can provide some useful directions. For example, managers and recreational anglers should be aware that water temperatures over a specific species-dependent threshold will very likely lead to increased and unintended losses in released fish. Therefore, anglers are recommended to minimize effort under unfavorably high water temperature conditions when the intention is to release fish or the likelihood of catching undersize fish is high. Furthermore, the choice of terminal gear and bait should be considered with care; for example, for some species, avoidance of natural bait (especially in fishing for predators that are to be released) and possibly barbed hooks can help to improve the chance of survival of released fish after the release event. Consideration of these and other recent findings (Cooke and Suski 2005; Arlinghaus et al. 2007a,b) as well as adherence to recently published best practice guidelines in recreational fisheries (EIFAC 2008) can contrib-

ute to sustainable management of recreational fisheries and should be pursued further in close interaction with practitioners and anglers.

Acknowledgments

The present paper was developed as a part of the bachelor of science thesis by DH. Acknowledgments go to the librarians, Ms Hentschel and Ms Große of the Leibniz-Institute of Freshwater Ecology and Inland Fisheries (IGB) for providing access to journals and several online databases. Funding was provided by the Adaptfish-project through the Gottfried-Wilhelm-Leibniz-Community to RA (www.adaptfish.igb-berlin.de). Further funding for finalization of this paper was provided by the German Ministry for Education and Research (BMBF) within the Program for Social-Ecological-Research (SOEF) and the Besatzfisch-project (www.besatz-fisch.de, grant No. 01UU0907). We acknowledge the input by Doug Beard and two anonymous reviewers on an earlier version of the manuscript.

References

- Anderson, W. G., R. Booth, T. A. Beddow, R. S. McKinley, B. Finstad, F. Økland, and D. Scruton. 1998. Remote monitoring of heart rate as a measure of recovery in angled Atlantic salmon, *Salmo salar* (L.). *Hydrobiologia* 371–372:233–240.
- Arlinghaus, R. 2007. Voluntary catch-and-release can generate conflict within the recreational angling community: a qualitative case study of specialised carp, *Cyprinus carpio*, angling in Germany. *Fisheries Management and Ecology* 14:161–171.
- Arlinghaus, R., S. J. Cooke, J. Lyman, D. Policansky, A. Schwab, C. D. Suski, G. Sutton, and E. B. Thorstad. 2007b. Understanding the complexity of catch-and-release in recreational fishing: an integrative synthesis of global knowledge from historical, ethical, social, and, biological perspectives. *Reviews in Fisheries Science* 15:75–167.
- Arlinghaus, R., S. J. Cooke, A. Schwab, and I. G. Cowx. 2007a. Fish welfare: a challenge to the feelings-based approach, with implications for recreational fishing. *Fish and Fisheries* 8:57–71.
- Arlinghaus, R., and J. Hallermann. 2007. Effects of air exposure on mortality and growth of undersized pikeperch, *Sander lucioperca*, at low water

- temperatures with implications for catch-and-release fishing. *Fisheries Management and Ecology* 14:155-160.
- Arlinghaus, R., T. Klefoth, S. J. Cooke, A. Gingerich, and C. Suski. 2009. Physiological and behavioural consequences of catch-and-release angling on northern pike (*Esox lucius* L.). *Fisheries Research* 97:223-233.
- Arlinghaus, R., T. Klefoth, A. Kobler, and S. J. Cooke. 2008. Size selectivity, injury, handling time, and determinants of initial hooking mortality in recreational angling for northern pike: the influence of type and size of bait. *North American Journal of Fisheries Management* 28:123-134.
- Bartholomew, A., and J. A. Bohnsack. 2005. A review of catch-and-release angling mortality with implications for no-take reserves. *Reviews in Fish Biology and Fisheries* 15:129-154.
- Barwick, D. H. 1985. Stocking and hooking mortality of planted trout in Jocassee Reservoir, South Carolina. *North American Journal of Fisheries Management* 5:580-583.
- Beggs, G. L., G. F. Holeton, and E. J. Crossman. 1980. Some physiological consequences of angling stress in muskellunge, *Esox masquinongy* Mitchill. *Journal of Fish Biology* 17:649-659.
- Bendock, T., and M. Alexandersdottir. 1993. Hooking mortality of Chinook salmon released in the Kenai River, Alaska. *North American Journal of Fisheries Management* 13:540-549.
- Benson, N. G., and R. V. Bulkley. 1963. Equilibrium yield and management of cutthroat trout in Yellowstone Lake. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Research Report 62, Washington, D.C.
- Bettoli, P. W., C. S. Vandergoot, and P. T. Horner. 2000. Hooking mortality of saugers in the Tennessee River. *North American Journal of Fisheries Management* 20:833-837.
- Beukema, J. J. 1970. Angling experiments with carp (*Cyprinus carpio* L.). *Netherlands Journal of Zoology* 20:81-92.
- Bouck, G. R., and R. C. Ball. 1966. Influence of capture methods on blood characteristics and mortality in the rainbow trout (*Salmo gairdneri*). *Transactions of the American Fisheries Society* 95:170-176.
- Brobbel, M. A., M. P. Wilkie, K. Davidson, J. D. Kieffer, A. T. Bielak, and B. L. Tufts. 1996. Physiological effects of catch and release angling in Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*) at different stages of freshwater migration. *Canadian Journal of Fisheries & Aquatic Sciences* 53:2036-2043.
- Burkholder, A. 1992. Mortality of northern pike captured and released with sport fishing gear. Alaska Department of Fish and Game, Fisheries Data Series 92-3, Juneau.
- Burr, J. 1998. Effect of post-capture handling on mortality in northern pike. Alaska Department of Fish and Game, Fisheries Data Series 98-34, Juneau.
- Butler, J. A., and R. E. Loeffel. 1972. Experimental use of barbless hooks in Oregon's troll salmon fishery. *Bulletin of the Pacific Marine Fisheries Commission* 8:23-30.
- Clark, R. A. 1991. Mortality of arctic grayling captured and released with sport fishing gear. Alaska Department of Fish and Game, Fisheries Data Series 91-59, Juneau.
- Coggins, L. G., M. J. Catalano, M. S. Allen, W. E. Pine III, and C. J. Walters. 2007. Effects of cryptic mortality and the hidden costs of using length limits in fishery management. *Fish and Fisheries* 8:196-210.
- Cooke, S. J., and I. G. Cowx. 2004. The role of recreational fisheries in global fish crises. *Bioscience* 54:857-859.
- Cooke, S. J., D. P. Philipp, K. M. Dunmall, and J. F. Schreer. 2001. The influence of terminal tackle on injury, handling time, and cardiac disturbance of rock bass. *North American Journal of Fisheries Management* 21:333-342.
- Cooke, S. J., D. P. Philipp, D. H. Wahl, and J. F. Schreer. 2002. Physiological impacts of catch-and-release angling practices on largemouth bass and smallmouth bass. Pages 489-512 in D. P. Philipp and M. S. Ridgway, editors. *Black bass: ecology, conservation, and management*. American Fisheries Society, Symposium 31, Bethesda, Maryland.
- Cooke, S. J., and C. D. Suski. 2005. Do we need species-specific guidelines for catch-and-release recreational angling to effectively conserve diverse fishery resources? *Biodiversity Conservation* 14:1195-1209.
- Crawford, S. S., and A. M. Muir. 2008. Global introductions of salmon and trout in the genus *Oncorhynchus*: 1870-2007. *Reviews in Fish Biology and Fisheries* 18:313-344.
- Dedual, M. 1996. Observed mortality of rainbow trout caught by different angling techniques in Lake Taupo, New Zealand. *North American Journal of Fisheries Management* 16:357-363.
- Dempson, J. B., G. Furey, and M. Bloom. 2002. Effects of catch and release angling on Atlantic

- salmon, *Salmo salar* L., of the Conne River. Newfoundland. Fisheries Management and Ecology 9:139-147.
- Dextrase, A. J., and H. E. Ball. 1991. Hooking mortality of lake trout angled through the ice. North American Journal of Fisheries Management 11:477-479.
- Donaldson, M. R., R. Arlinghaus, K. C. Hanson, and S. J. Cooke. 2008. Enhancing catch-and-release science with biotelemetry. Fish and Fisheries 9:79-105.
- Dotson, T. 1982. Mortalities in trout caused by gear type and angler-induced stress. North American Journal of Fisheries Management 2:60-65.
- DuBois, R. B., and R. R. Dubielzig. 2004. Effect of hook type on mortality, trauma, and capture efficiency of wild stream trout caught by angling with spinners. North American Journal of Fisheries Management 24:609-616.
- DuBois, R. B., and K. E. Kuklinski. 2004. Effect of hook type on mortality, trauma, and capture efficiency of wild, stream-resident trout caught by active baitfishing. North American Journal of Fisheries Management 24:617-623.
- DuBois, R. B., T. L. Margenau, R. S. Stewart, P. K. Cunningham, and P. W. Rasmussen. 1994. Hooking mortality of northern pike angled through ice. North American Journal of Fisheries Management 14:769-775.
- DuBois, R. B., and J. M. Pleski. 2007. Hook shedding and mortality of deeply hooked brook trout caught with bait on barbed and barbless hooks. North American Journal of Fisheries Management 27:1203-1207.
- EIFAC (European Inland Fisheries Advisory Commission). 2008. Code of practice for recreational fisheries. EIFAC Occasional Paper No. 42. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, Rome.
- Facchin, A. 1983. Hooking mortality of fly-caught Duncan River rainbow trout (*Salmo gairdneri*) in Harper Lake, British Columbia. British Columbia Fish and Wildlife, Fisheries Technical Circular 58, Victoria.
- Falk, M. R., and D. V. Gillmann. 1975. Mortality data for angled arctic grayling and northern pike from the Great Slave Lake area, Northwest Territories. Canada Department of Environmental Fisheries and Marine Services, Technical Report Series CEN/D-75-1.
- Falk, M. R., D. V. Gillmann, and L. W. Dahlke. 1974. Comparison of mortality between barbed and barbless hooked lake trout. Winnipeg, Manitoba. Canada Department of Environmental Fisheries and Marine Services, Technical Report Series CEN/T-74-1, Winnipeg, Manitoba.
- Ferguson, R. A., and B. L. Tufts. 1992. Physiological effects of brief air exposure in exhaustively exercised rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*): implications for "catch and release" fisheries. Canadian Journal of Fisheries & Aquatic Sciences 49:1157-1162.
- Fiedler, D. G., and B. A. Johnson. 1994. Walleye mortality during live-release tournaments on Lake Oahe, South Dakota. North American Journal of Fisheries Management 14:776-780.
- Fletcher, D. H. 1987. Hooking mortality of walleyes captured in Porcupine Bay, Washington. North American Journal of Fisheries Management 7:594-596.
- Froese, R., and D. Pauly. 2008. FishBase. World Wide Web electronic publication. Available: www.fishbase.org, version (February 2008).
- Gallardo, J. M., S. M. H. Alavi, Z. Adamek, and B. Drozd. 2009. External damage and changes in blood parameters in female tench, *Tinca tinca* (L.) retained in anglers' keepnets. Reviews in Fish Biology and Fisheries [online serial] DOI: 10.1007/s11160-009-9149-7.
- Gingerich, A. J., S. J. Cooke, K. C. Hanson, M. R. Donaldson, C. T. Hasler, C. D. Suski, and R. Arlinghaus. 2007. Evaluation of the interactive effects of air exposure duration and water temperature on the condition and survival of angled and released fish. Fisheries Research 86:169-178.
- Gjernes, T., A. R. Kronlund, and T. J. Mulligan. 1993. Mortality of Chinook and coho salmon in their first year of ocean life following catch and release by anglers. North American Journal of Fisheries Management 13:524-539.
- Goeman, T. J. 1991. Walleye mortality during a live-release tournament on Mills Lacs, Minnesota. North American Journal of Fisheries Management 11:57-61.
- Graeb, B. D. S., J. L. Shepherd, D. W. Willis, and J. S. Sorensen. 2005. Delayed mortality of tournament-caught walleyes. North American Journal of Fisheries Management 25:251-255.
- Gravel, M. A., and S. J. Cooke. 2008. Severity of barotrauma influences the physiological status, post-release behavior, and fate of tournament-caught smallmouth bass. North American Journal of Fisheries Management 28:607-617.

- Gustavson, A. W., R. S. Wydoski, and G. A. Wedemeyer. 1991. Physiological response of largemouth bass to angling stress. *Transactions of the American Fisheries Society* 120:629-636.
- Hanson, K. C., S. J. Cooke, C. D. Suski, and D. P. Philipp. 2007. Effects of different angling practices on post-release behaviour of nest-guarding male black bass, *Micropterus* spp. *Fisheries Management and Ecology* 14:141-148.
- Hoffman, G. C., D. W. Coble, R. V. Frie, F. A. Copes, R. M. Bruch, and K. K. Kamke. 1996. Walleye and sauger mortality associated with live-release tournaments on the Lake Winnebago System, Wisconsin. *North American Journal of Fisheries Management* 16:364-370.
- Horak, D. L., and W. D. Klein. 1967. Influence of capture methods on fishing success, stamina, and mortality of rainbow trout (*Salmo gairdneri*) in Colorado. *Transactions of the American Fisheries Society* 96:220-222.
- Hulbert, P. J., and R. Engstrom-Heg. 1980. Hooking mortality of worm-caught hatchery brown trout. *New York Fish and Game Journal* 27:1-10.
- Hunsaker, I. I., D., L. F. Marnell, and P. F. Sharpe. 1970. Hooking mortality of Yellowstone cutthroat trout. *Progressive Fish-Culturist* 32:231-235.
- Jenkins, Jr. T. M. 2003. Evaluating recent innovations in bait fishing tackle and technique for catch and release of rainbow trout. *North American Journal of Fisheries Management* 23:1098-1107.
- Keniry, M. J., W. A. Brofka, W. H. Horns, and J. E. Marsden. 1996. Effects of decompression and puncturing the gas bladder on survival of tagged yellow perch. *North American Journal of Fisheries Management* 16:201-206.
- Klefoth, T., A. Kobler, and R. Arlinghaus. 2008. The impact of catch-and-release angling on short-term behaviour and habitat choice of northern pike (*Esox lucius* L.). *Hydrobiologia* 601:99-110.
- Klein, W. D. 1965. Mortality of rainbow trout caught on single and treble hooks and released. *Progressive Fish-Culturist* 27:171-172.
- Klein, W. D. 1966. Mortality of trout caught on artificial lures and released by fishermen. *Transactions of the American Fisheries Society* 95:326-328.
- Klein, W. D. 1974. Mortality among trout released by anglers while ice-fishing. Unpublished manuscript. Colorado Division of Wildlife, Fort Collins.
- Kottelat, M., and J. Freyhof. 2007. Handbook of European freshwater fishes. Publications Kottelat, Cornol, Switzerland.
- Lee, W. C., and E. P. Bergersen. 1996. Influence of thermal and oxygen stratification on lake trout hooking mortality. *North American Journal of Fisheries Management* 16:175-181.
- Lindsay, R. B., R. K. Schroeder, K. Kenaston, R. N. Toman, and M. A. Buckman. 2004. Hooking mortality by anatomical location and its use in estimating mortality of spring Chinook salmon caught and released in a river sport fishery. *North American Journal of Fisheries Management* 24:367-378.
- Loftus, A. J., W. W. Taylor, and M. Keller. 1988. An evaluation of lake trout (*Salvelinus namaycush*) hooking mortality in the upper great lakes. *Canadian Journal of Fisheries & Aquatic Sciences* 45:1473-1479.
- Margenau, T. L. 2007. Effects of angling with a single-hook and live bait on muskellunge survival. *Environmental Biology of Fishes* 79:155-162.
- Marnell, L. F., and D. Hunsaker, I. I. 1970. Hooking mortality of lure-caught cutthroat trout (*Salmo clarki*) in relation to water temperature, fatigue, and reproductive maturity of released fish. *Transactions of the American Fisheries Society* 99:684-688.
- Mason, J. W., and R. L. Hunt. 1967. Mortality rates of deeply hooked rainbow trout. *Progressive Fish-Culturist* 29:87-91.
- McKinley, T.R. 1993. Mortality of arctic char and large arctic grayling captured and released with sport fishing gear. Alaska Department of Fish and Game, Division of Sport Fish, Fishery Data Series 93-1, Juneau.
- Meka, J. M. 2004. The influence of hook type, angler experience, and fish size on injury rates and the duration of capture in an Alaskan catch-and-release rainbow trout fishery. *North American Journal of Fisheries Management* 24:1309-1321.
- Meka, J. M., and S. D. McCormick. 2005. Physiological response of wild rainbow trout to angling: impact of angling duration, fish size, body condition, and temperature. *Fisheries Research* 72:311-322.
- Milne, D. J., and E. A. R. Ball. 1956. The mortality of small salmon when caught by trolling and tagged or released untagged. *Progress Reports of the Fisheries Research Board of Canada Pacific Coast Stations* 106:10-12.
- Morrissey, M. B., C. D. Suski, K. R. Esseltine, and

- B. L. Tufts. 2005. Incidence and physiological consequences of decompression in smallmouth bass after live-release angling tournaments. *Transactions of the American Fisheries Society* 134:1038–1047.
- Muoneke, M. I. 1992. Seasonal hooking mortality of bluegills caught on natural baits. *North American Journal of Fisheries Management* 12:645–649.
- Muoneke, M. I., and W. M. Childress. 1994. Hooking mortality: a review for recreational fisheries. *Reviews in Fisheries Science* 2:123–156.
- Nadeau, D. 1982. Mortalité engendrée par des lures artificiels chez de touladi (*Salvelinus namaycush*). [Mortality caused by artificial lures in lake trout (*Salvelinus namaycush*).] Ministère du Loisir, de la Chasse, et de la Pêche, Service de l'Aménagement et de l'Exploitation de la Faune, Direction Régionale de l'Abitibi-Témiscamingue, Noranada, Québec.
- NRC (Natural Resources Consultants). 1989. Hooking mortality study. Saltonstall-Kennedy Project Quarterly Progress Report. Natural Resources Consultants, NA89AB-11-00012, Seattle.
- Nelson, T. C., M. L. Rosenau, and N. T. Johnston. 2005. Behavior and survival of wild and hatchery-origin winter steelhead spawners caught and released in a recreational fishery. *North American Journal of Fisheries Management* 25:931–943.
- Newman, D. L., and T. W. Storck. 1986. Angler catch, growth, and hooking mortality of tiger muskellunge in small centrarchid-dominated impoundments. Pages 346–351 in G. E. Hall, editor. *Managing muskies: a treatise on the biology and propagation of muskellunge in North America*. American Fisheries Society, Special Publication 15, Bethesda, Maryland.
- Nguyen, V., M.-A. Gravel, A. Mapleston, K. C. Hanson, and S. J. Cooke. 2009. The post-release behaviour and fate of tournament-caught smallmouth bass after 'fizzing' to alleviate distended swim bladders. *Fisheries Research* 96:313–318.
- North, R. 2002. Factors affecting the performance of stillwater coarse fisheries in England and Wales. Pages 284–298 in I. G. Cowx, editor. *Management and ecology of lake and reservoir fisheries*. Blackwell Scientific Publications, Oxford, UK.
- Nuhfer, A. J., and G. R. Alexander. 1992. Hooking mortality of trophy-sized wild brook trout caught on artificial lures. *North American Journal of Fisheries Management* 12:634–644.
- Orsi, J. A., A. C. Wertheimer, and H. W. Jaenicke. 1993. Influence of selected hook and lure types on catch, size, and mortality of commercially troll-caught Chinook salmon. *North American Journal of Fisheries Management* 13:709–722.
- Ostrand, K. G., M. J. Siepkner, and S. J. Cooke. 2006. Capture efficiencies of two hook types and associated injury and mortality of juvenile muskellunge angled with live baitfish. *North American Journal of Fisheries Management* 26:622–627.
- Parker, R. R., and E. C. Black. 1959. Muscular fatigue and mortality in troll caught chinook salmon (*Oncorhynchus tshawytscha*). *Journal of the Fisheries Research Board of Canada* 16:95–106.
- Parker, R. R., E. C. Black, and P. A. Larkin. 1959. Fatigue and mortality in troll caught Pacific salmon (*Oncorhynchus*). *Journal of the Fisheries Research Board of Canada* 16:429–448.
- Parks, I. O., and L. K. Kraai. 1991. Walleye hooking mortality at Lake Meredith. Texas Parks and Wildlife Department, Inland Fisheries Division, Management Data Serial No. 52, Austin.
- Paukert, C. P., J. A. Klammer, R. B. Pierce, and T. D. Simonson. 2001. An overview of northern pike regulations in North America. *Fisheries* 26(6):6–13.
- Pauley, G. B., and G. L. Thomas. 1993. Mortality of anadromous coastal cutthroat trout caught with artificial lures and natural bait. *North American Journal of Fisheries Management* 13:337–345.
- Payer, R. D., R. B. Pierce, and D. L. Pereira. 1989. Hooking mortality of walleyes caught on live and artificial baits. *North American Journal of Fisheries Management* 9:188–192.
- Person, S. E., and S. A. Hirsch. 1994. Hooking mortality of lake trout angled through ice by jigging and set-lining. *North American Journal of Fisheries Management* 14:664–668.
- Policansky, D. 2002. Catch-and-release recreational fishing: a historical perspective. Pages 74–93 in T. J. Pitcher and C. E. Hollingworth, editors. *Recreational fisheries: ecological, economic and social evaluation*. Blackwell Scientific Publications, Oxford, UK.
- Pollock, K. H., and W. E. Pine, III. 2007. The design and analysis of field studies to estimate catch and release mortality. *Fisheries Management and Ecology* 14:123–130.
- Pope, K. L., and G. R. Wilde. 2004. Effects of catch-and-release angling on growth of largemouth bass, *Micropterus salmoides*. *Fisheries Management and Ecology* 11:39–44.

- Pope, K. L., G. R. Wilde, and D. W. Knabe. 2007. Effects of catch-and-release angling on growth and survival of rainbow trout, *Oncorhynchus mykiss*. *Fisheries Management and Ecology* 14:115-121.
- Portz, D. E., C. M. Woodley, and J. J. Cech, Jr. 2006. Stress-associated impacts of short-term holding on fishes. *Reviews in Fish Biology and Fisheries* 16:125-170.
- Pottinger, T. G. 1998. Changes in blood cortisol, glucose and lactate in carp retained in anglers' keepnets. *Journal of Fish Biology* 53:728-742.
- Raat, A. J. P. 1985. Analysis of angling vulnerability of common carp, *Cyprinus carpio* L., in catch-and-release angling ponds. *Aquaculture and Fisheries Management* 16:171-187.
- Raat, A. J. P., J. G. P. Klein Breteler, and S. A. W. Jansen. 1997. Effects on growth and survival of retention of rod-caught cyprinids in large keepnets. *Fisheries Management and Ecology* 4:355-368.
- Rapp, T., S. J. Cooke, and R. Arlinghaus. 2008. Exploitation of specialised fisheries resources: The importance of hook size in recreational angling for large common carp (*Cyprinus carpio* L.). *Fisheries Research* 94:79-83.
- Reeves, K. A., and R. E. Bruesewitz. 2007. Factors influencing the hooking mortality of walleyes caught by recreational anglers on Mille Lacs, Minnesota. *North American Journal of Fisheries Management* 27:443-452.
- Schaefer, W. F. 1989. Hooking mortality of walleyes in a northwestern Ontario Lake. *North American Journal of Fisheries Management* 9:193-194.
- Schill, D. J. 1996. Hooking mortality of bait-caught rainbow trout in an Idaho trout steam and a hatchery: implications for special-regulation management. *North American Journal of Fisheries Management* 16:348-356.
- Schill, D. J., J. S. Griffith, and R. E. Gresswell. 1986. Hooking mortality of cutthroat trout in a catch-and-release segment of the Yellowstone River, Yellowstone National Park. *North American Journal of Fisheries Management* 6:226-232.
- Schill, D. J., and R. L. Scarpella. 1997. Barbed hook restrictions in catch-and-release trout fisheries: a social issue. *North American Journal of Fisheries Management* 17:873-881.
- Schisler, G. J., and E. P. Bergersen. 1996. Postrelease hooking mortality of rainbow trout caught on scented artificial baits. *North American Journal of Fisheries Management* 16:570-578.
- Schreer, J. F., J. Gokey, and V. J. DeGhett. 2009. The incidence and consequences of barotrauma in fish in the St. Lawrence River. *North American Journal of Fisheries Management* 29:1707-1713.
- Schreer, J. F., D. M. Resch, M. L. Gately, and S. J. Cooke. 2005. Swimming performance of brook trout after simulated catch-and-release angling: looking for air exposure thresholds. *North American Journal of Fisheries Management* 25:1513-1517.
- Shasteen, S. P., and R. J. Sheehan. 1997. Laboratory evaluation of artificial swim bladder deflation in largemouth bass: potential benefits for catch-and-release fisheries. *North American Journal of Fisheries Management* 17:32-37.
- Shetter, D. S., and L. N. Allison. 1955. Comparison of mortality between fly-hooked and worm-hooked trout in Michigan streams. Michigan Department of Conservation, Institute for Fisheries Research Miscellaneous Publication No. 9, Ann Arbor.
- Shetter, D. S., and L. N. Allison. 1958. Mortality of trout caused by hooking with artificial lures in Michigan waters, 1956-1957. Michigan Department of Conservation, Institute for Fisheries Research Miscellaneous Publication No. 12, Ann Arbor.
- Siepkner, M. J., S. J. Cooke, D. H. Wahl, and D. P. Philipp. 2009. Individual reproductive success of largemouth bass and smallmouth bass subjected to different components of competitive angling events. *Transactions of the American Fisheries Society* 138:818-825.
- Siepkner, M. J., K. G. Ostrand, S. J. Cooke, P. D. Philipp, and D. H. Wahl. 2007. A review of the effects of catch-and-release angling on black bass, *Micropterus spp.*: implications for conservation and management of populations. *Fisheries Management and Ecology* 14:91-101.
- Storck, T. W., and D. L. Newman. 1992. Contribution of tiger muskellunge to the sport fishery of a small, centrarchid-dominated impoundment. *North American Journal of Fisheries Management* 12:213-221.
- Stringer, G. E. 1967. Comparative hooking mortality using three types of terminal gear on rainbow trout from Pennask Lake, British Columbia. *The Canadian Fish Culturist* 39:17-21.
- Taylor, M. J., and K. R. White. 1992. A meta-analysis of hooking mortality of nonanadromous trout. *Transactions of the American Fisheries Society* 107:760-767.

- Thompson, F. 1946. Experiment proves small fish are worth saving. New Mexico Department of Game and Fish, Technical Report 11-F, Santa Fe.
- Thompson, L. A., S. J. Cooke, M. R. Donaldson, K. C. Hanson, A. Gingerich, T. Klefoth, and R. Arlinghaus. 2008. Physiology, behavior, and survival of angled and air-exposed largemouth bass. *North American Journal of Fisheries Management* 28:1059-1068.
- Thorstad, E. B., T. F. Næsje, P. Fiske, and B. Finstad. 2003. Effects of hook and release on Atlantic salmon in the River Alta, northern Norway. *Fisheries Research* 60:293-307.
- Thorstad, E. B., T. F. Næsje, and I. Leinan. 2007. Long-term effects of catch-and-release angling on ascending Atlantic salmon during different stages of spawning migration. *Fisheries Research* 85:316-320.
- Titus, R. G., and C. D. Vanicek. 1988. Comparative hooking mortality of lure-caught Lahontan cutthroat trout at Heenan Lake, California. *California Fish and Game* 74:218-225.
- Tsuboi, J., and K. Morita. 2004. Selectivity effects on wild white-spotted charr (*Salvelinus leucomaenis*) during a catch and release fishery. *Fisheries Research* 69:229-238.
- Vincent-Lang, D., M. Alexanderdottir, and D. McBride. 1993. Mortality of coho salmon caught and released using sport tackle in the Little Susitna River, Alaska. *Fisheries Research* 15:339-356.
- Warner, K. 1976. Hooking mortality of landlocked Atlantic salmon, *Salmo salar*, in a hatchery environment. *Transactions of the American Fisheries Society* 105:365-369.
- Warner, K. 1978. Hooking mortality of lake-dwelling landlocked Atlantic salmon, *Salmo salar*. *Transactions of the American Fisheries Society* 107:518-522.
- Warner, K. 1979. Mortality of landlocked Atlantic salmon hooked on four types of fishing gear at the hatchery. *Progressive Fish-Culturist* 41:99-102.
- Warner, K., and P. R. Johnson. 1978. Mortality of landlocked Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*) hooked on flies and worms in a river nursery area. *Transactions of the American Fisheries Society* 107:772-775.
- Webb, J. H. 1998. Catch and release: the survival and behaviour of Atlantic salmon angled and returned to the Aberdeenshire Dee, in spring and early summer. *Scottish Fisheries Research Report* 62:1-16.
- Weithman, A. S., and R. O. Anderson. 1976. Angling vulnerability of Esocidae. *Proceedings of the Southeastern Association of Game and Fish Commissioners* 30:99-102.
- Wertheimer, A. 1988. Hooking mortality of Chinook salmon release by commercial trollers. *North American Journal of Fisheries Management* 8:346-355.
- Wertheimer, A., A. Celewycz, H. Jaenicke, D. Mortensen, and J. Orsi. 1989. Size-related hooking mortality of incidentally caught chinook salmon, *Oncorhynchus tshawytscha*. *Marine Fisheries Review* 51:28-35.
- Westerman, F. A. 1932. Experiments show insignificant loss of hooked immature trout when they are returning to water. *Michigan Department of Conservation, Monthly Bulletin* 2:1-6, Ann Arbor.
- White, A. J., J. F. Schreer, and S. J. Cooke. 2008. Behavioral and physiological responses of the congeneric largemouth (*Micropterus salmoides*) and smallmouth bass (*M. dolomieu*) to various exercise and air exposure durations. *Fisheries Research* 89:9-16.
- Wilde, G. R. 1998. Tournament-associated mortality in black bass. *Fisheries* 23:12-22.
- Wilde, G. R. 2009. Does venting promote survival of released fish? *Fisheries* 34:20-28.
- Wilde, G. R., M. I. Muoneke, P. W. Bettoli, K. L. Nelson, and B. T. Hysmith. 2000. Bait and temperature effects on striped bass hooking mortality in freshwater. *North American Journal of Fisheries Management* 20:810-815.
- Wilkie, M. P., M. A. Brobble, K. Davidson, L. Forsyth, and B. L. Tufts. 1997. Influences of temperature upon the post-exercise physiology of Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*). *Canadian Journal of Fisheries & Aquatic Sciences* 54:503-511.
- Wilkie, M. P., K. Davidson, M. A. Brobble, J. D. Kieffer, R. K. Booth, A. T. Bielak, and B. L. Tufts. 1996. Physiology and survival of wild Atlantic salmon following angling in warm summer waters. *Transactions of the American Fisheries Society* 125:572-580.
- Wydoski, R. S. 1970. Management of Washington lakes for quality fishing: the Lenice Lake study. University of Washington, Washington Cooperative Fisheries Research Unit, University Seattle.
- Wydoski, R. S. 1977. Relation of hooking mortality and sublethal hooking stress to quality fishery management. Pages 43-87 in R. A. Barnhart

- and T. D. Roelofs, editors. Catch-and-release fishing as a management tool. Humboldt State University, Arcata, California.
- Wydoski, R. S., G. A. Wedemeyer, and N. C. Nelson. 1976. Physiological response to hooking stress in hatchery and wild rainbow trout (*Salmo gairdneri*). Transactions of the American Fisheries Society 75:601-606.
- Zar, J. H. 1996. Biostatistical analysis, 3rd edition. Prentice-Hall, London.